

**A NEUTRAL SYSTEM APPROACH TO STABILITY
OF SINGULAR TIME-VARYING DELAY SYSTEM**

**APARAT AMPANSIRI
PACHARAPAN UENGSRIWONG**

**An Independent Study Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the degree of Bachelor
of Science Program in Mathematics**

September 2018

University of Phayao

Copyright 2018 by University of Phayao

Advisor and Dean of School of Science have considered the independent study entitled "A neutral system approach to stability of singular time-varying delay system" submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science Program in Mathematics is hereby approved.

.....

(Dr. Wajaree Weera)
Chairman and Committee

.....

(Dr. Teerapong La-inchua)
Advisor and Committee

.....

(Dr. Patcharapan Jumnonnit)
Committee

.....

(Assoc. Prof. Preeyanan Sanpote)
Dean of School of Science

September 2018

© Copyright 2018 by University of Phayao

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In this independent study (I.S.), a neutral system approach to stability of singular time-varying delay system has been studied. It is worth to point out that this independent study could not successfully be completed without the kindness of advisor Dr. Teerapong La-inchua, for his initial idea, guidance and encouragement. He checked and corrected the fault of this independent study, so we would like to express our sincere thanks for him. We would like to thank for all lecturers in Department of Mathematics, University of Phayao, who opened the door of knowledge to us. We gratefully appreciate our parents for their love sacrifices and encouragement. Finally, we would like to specially thank the others, who inspired us but are not named in this acknowledgement.

Aparat Ampansiri
Pacharapan Uengsriwong

ชื่อเรื่อง	การใช้วิธีทางระบบเป็นกลางสำหรับเสถียรของระบบเอกฐานที่มีตัวห่วยแปรผันตามเวลา
ผู้ศึกษาค้นคว้า	นางสาวพรพรรณ อังศรีวงษ์ นางสาวอาภารัตน์ อัมพันธ์ศิริ
อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา	ดร.ธีรพงษ์ หล้าอินเชื้อ
วิทยาศาสตร์บัณฑิต	สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์
คำสำคัญ	ตัวห่วยแปรผันตามเวลา เสถียรเชิงเส้นกำกับ ฟังก์ชันไลบุนอฟคราซอฟสกี ระบบที่เป็นกลาง ระบบเอกฐาน

บทคัดย่อ

ในการศึกษาอิสระนี้ จะศึกษาปัญหาเกี่ยวกับเสถียรภาพของระบบเอกฐานที่มีตัวห่วยแปรผันตามเวลา โดยวิธีการเปลี่ยนระบบเอกฐานให้อยู่ในระบบเป็นกลางแล้วใช้วิธีไลบุนอฟคราซอฟสกีและวิธีอสมการเวทิงเจอร์ ทำให้เราได้เงื่อนไขเพียงพอใหม่สำหรับเสถียรภาพของระบบดังกล่าว โดยเงื่อนไขเพียงพอข้างต้นจะอยู่ในรูปสมการเมทริกซ์เชิงเส้น เงื่อนไขนี้สามารถนำไปทดสอบเสถียรภาพของระบบเอกฐานและระบบเป็นกลางที่มีตัวห่วยแปรผันตามเวลาได้ในตอนท้ายของการศึกษาอิสระนี้ ได้ยกตัวอย่างเพื่อแสดงให้เห็นถึงประสิทธิภาพของวิธีการดังกล่าว

Title A NEUTRAL SYSTEM APPROACH TO STABILITY
OF SINGULAR TIME-VARYING DELAY SYSTEM

Author Miss Pacharapan Uengsriwong
Miss Aparat Ampansiri

Advisor Dr. Teerapong La-inchua

Bachelor of Science Program in Mathematics

Keywords Time-varying delay,
Asymptotically stable,
Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional,
Neutral systems,
Singular system.

ABSTRACT

This independent study is concerned with the problem of delay-dependent stability for a class of singular time-varying delay system. By representing the singular system as a neutral form, using an augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and the Wirtinger-based inequality method, we obtain a new stability criterion in terms of a linear matrix inequality (LMI). The criterion is applicable for the stability test of both singular time-varying delay systems and neutral systems with time-varying delays.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
Approved	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract in Thai	iii
Abstract in English	iv
Chapter 1 Introduction and Preliminaries	1
Chapter 2 Main results	10
Chapter 3 Numerical Examples	22
Chapter 4 Conclusion	28
Bibliography	31
Appendix	
Appendix	36
Mathlab code	58
Biography	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

1.1 Introduction

Singular systems (also referred to as descriptor, generalized, differential-algebraic or semi-state systems) arise in a variety of physical systems such as economic systems, power systems and many other systems which can be modeled by dynamic equations and algebraic constraints [4],[6],[16].

It is well known that time-varying delay appears in many dynamic systems such as digital control systems, long transmission lines in pneumatic systems, manufacturing processes and remote control systems, which may cause poor performance and even instability [1],[3],[10-11],[14].

Recently, increasing attention has been paid to the study of singular time-varying delay systems due to the fact that such types of systems can better describe systems than normal ones and have extensive applications in various engineering systems, including flexible arm control of robots, large-scale electric network control and lossless transmission lines [7],[9],[17],[20],[23-27],[29].

Recent study of stability analysis for singular time-varying delay systems mainly focuses on delay-dependent criteria which are usually less conservative than delay-independent ones, see [9],[17],[20],[23],[25-27],[29] and the references therein, among which one main index of measuring the conservativeness is the maximum allowable upper bound on the time-varying delay. It is well known that the Lyapunov theory has been recognized as a powerful methodology for the stability analysis [13], and there are two key points to reduce the inherent conservativeness : the construction of appropriate Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (LKF) and the way to estimate its derivative. The simple LKF is employed in [7],[23-25] to investigate the stability of the singular time-varying delay systems, and rich results have been reported. Several

attempts towards the construction of the LKFs have been done such as adopting parameterized LKF [17], augmenting several terms in LKF [20],[27], introducing the triple integral term [20], and using the delay-decomposition method [9]. Moreover the delay-decomposition method has been proved to be effective in reduction of the conservativeness, but it yields heavier computational burden with the number of delay-partitioning increasing. As for the way to bound some cross terms arisen when estimating the derivative of the LKF, there are some different methods, among which the free weighting matrix approach [27],[29] and Jensen's inequality method [20],[23],[26-27],[29] are the most popular methods. Recently a new Wirtinger-based integral inequality was introduced in [2] and it is shown effectively to reduce the conservativeness for testing stability of normal time-varying delay systems since it encompasses Jensen's inequality as the special case. At present, there are no obvious ways to obtain less conservative results and the singular time-varying delay systems still need more investigation. This motivates the study in this work.

In this independent study, the problem of stability analysis for a class of singular systems with time-varying delay is investigated. We aim at establishing a delay-dependent stability criterion in terms of LMIs which can produce allowable delay bounds as large as possible and the number of decision variables as few as possible. Firstly, the considered system is represented as a neutral system under a moderate assumption. Then a delay-dependent stability criterion is proposed by using Lyapunov method. The main idea is the transformation of singular time-varying delay systems into neutral systems and thus new delay-dependent stability test is derived by using appropriate LKF and the Wirtinger-based integral inequality, which forms the main contribution of our work. The present result can be used in testing stability of both singular time-varying delay system and neutral systems. Numerical examples show in Section 3. Section 4 give the conclusion of independent study.

Notation: In this paper, R^n denotes the n -dimensional real Euclidean space; $R^{n \times m}$ is the set of $n \times m$ real matrices; the superscript ' T ' denotes the matrix

transportation, for any square matrix $A \in R^{n \times m}$, we define $He\{A\} = A + A^T$; $\| \cdot \|$ refers to the Euclidean norm of the vector and $diag\{\dots\}$ denotes the block diagonal matrix; $P > 0$ ($P \geq 0$) means that P is a real, symmetric and positive definite (positive semi-definite) matrix; the symbol ‘ \star ’ represents the symmetric elements in asymmetric matrix; I_n and 0_n are, respectively, the $n \times n$ dimensional identity matrix and the $n \times n$ dimensional zero matrix. Whereas no confusion is caused, we also use I and 0 to denote, respectively, the identity matrix and the zero matrix with compatible dimensions.

1.2 Problem formulation and Preliminaries

Consider the following linear singular time-varying delay system:

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + A_d x(t - h(t)), \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), t \in [-h_2, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $x(t) \in R^n$ is the state variable. $h(t)$ is a time-varying delay which satisfies $0 \leq h_1 \leq h(t) \leq h_2$, and $\dot{h}(t) \leq D$. $E, A, A_d \in R^{n \times n}$ are known real constant matrices where E may be a singular matrix, so and we assume that $rank(E) = r \leq n$. $\phi(t)$ is a continuously real-valued initial function.

We now transform system (1) into a neutral system under certain constraint. To proceed, the following Definitions and Lemmas are needed.

Definition 1 (Dai [1]). The pair (E, A) is said to be regular if $det(sE - A)$ is not identically zero. The pair (E, A) is said to be impulse free if $deg(det(\lambda E - A)) = rank(E)$.

Lemma 1 (Xu et al. [24]). If the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, then the solution to the singular time-varying delay system (1) exists and is impulse free and unique on $[0, \infty)$.

From Lemma 1, the following definition is naturally introduced.

Definition 2 (Xu et al. [24]). The singular time-varying delay system (1) is said to be regular and impulse free, if the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free.

Lemma 2 (Dai [1]). If the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, then there exists two invertible matrices $M \in R^{n \times n}$ and $N \in R^{n \times n}$ such that

$$MEN = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MAN = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

According to Lemma 2, if the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, invertible matrices $M, N \in R^{n \times n}$ can always be found such that

$$MEN := \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \bar{E}, \quad MAN := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} = \bar{A}. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$MA_dN = \bar{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d1} & A_{d2} \\ A_{d3} & A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad N^{-1}x(t) = \mu(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(t) \\ \mu_2(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where the partitions are compatible with the structure of \bar{E} .

From, Lemma 2, we have

$$N^{-1}x(t) = \mu(t),$$

$$x(t) = N\mu(t),$$

$$\therefore \dot{x}(t) = N\dot{\mu}(t),$$

and

$$EN\dot{\mu}(t) = AN\mu(t) + A_dN\mu(t - h(t)),$$

$$MEN\dot{\mu}(t) = MAN\mu(t) + MA_dN\mu(t - h(t)),$$

$$\bar{E}\dot{\mu}(t) = \bar{A}\mu(t) + \bar{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)).$$

The following system :

$$\bar{E}\dot{\mu}(t) = \bar{A}\mu(t) + \bar{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)), \quad (5)$$

which can be written as follows :

$$\dot{\mu}_1(t) = A_1\mu(t) + A_{d1}\mu_1(t-h(t)) + A_{d2}\mu_2(t-h(t)), \quad (6)$$

$$0 = \mu_2(t) + A_{d3}\mu_1(t-h(t)) + A_{d4}\mu_2(t-h(t)). \quad (7)$$

Inspired by [9] and [13] , we rewrite the second equation. By differentiating (7) , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\mu_2(t) + A_{d3}\mu_1(t-h(t)) + A_{d4}\mu_2(t-h(t))] = 0. \quad (8)$$

By combining (7) and (8) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}_2(t) = & -\mu_2(t) - A_{d3}\dot{\mu}_1(t-h(t))(1-\dot{h}(t)) - A_{d4}\dot{\mu}_2(t-h(t))(1-\dot{h}(t)) \\ & - A_{d3}\mu_1(t-h(t)) - A_{d4}\mu_2(t-h(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From (6) and (9) , we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}_1(t) \\ \dot{\mu}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_1\mu_1(t) + A_{d1}\mu_1(t-h(t)) + A_{d2}\mu_2(t-h(t)) \\ -\mu_2(t) - A_{d3}\mu_1(t-h(t)) - A_{d4}\mu_2(t-h(t)) \end{bmatrix} \\ & + [1-\dot{h}(t)] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(t-h(t)) \\ \mu_2(t-h(t)) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d1} & A_{d2} \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Then the system (10) is of the form of a neutral system ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}(t) &= \hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t)) \hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) , \\ \mu(t) &= \varphi(t) , \quad t \in [-h_2, 0] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Definition 3 The equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is said to be asymptotically stable (A.S.) if it is stable and δ can be chosen such that

$$\|x(0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$$

Lemma 3 Let $x = 0$ be an equilibrium point for system (12) and $D \subset R^n$ be a domain containing $x = 0$.

1. If there exists a Lyapunov function V then the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is stable.

2. If there exists a Lyapunov function V and $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ in $D - \{0\}$ the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is asymptotically stable.

In this work, we will provide an alternative way to study the stability of singular time-varying delay system (1) via the neutral system (12). Although the dynamic responses for system (1) and (12) may be different, it is easy to see that the stability property for both systems remains the same. That is, the asymptotically stability of system (12) will guarantee the asymptotic stability of system (1), and vice versa. With respect to the stability analysis of system (12), it is always assumed that the spectral radius of $\hat{C}(1 - D)$ is less than 1. So the following assumption is made before our main result.

Assumption 1 Assume that the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free and all the eigenvalues of $\hat{C}(1 - D)$ are inside the unit circle.

Lemma 4 (Seuret and Gouaisbaut [2]). For any constant matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $R = R^T \geq 0$, the following inequality holds for all continuously differentiable function x in $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\int_a^b \dot{x}^T R \dot{x}(s) ds \geq \frac{1}{b-a} (x(b) - x(a))^T R (x(b) - x(a)) + \frac{3}{b-a} \Omega^T R \Omega, \quad (13)$$

where $\Omega = x(a) + x(b) - \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right) \int_a^b x(s) ds$.

Proposition 2.1 (Schur complement lemma [8]). Given constant matrices X, Y, Z with appropriate dimensions satisfying $X = X^T, Y = Y^T > 0$. Then $X + Z^T Y^{-1} Z < 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Z^T \\ Z & -Y \end{bmatrix} < 0 \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -Y & Z \\ Z^T & X \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Proposition 2.2 (Cauchy's inequality [5]). Let E and H be any constant matrices. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$2EH \leq \varepsilon EF^T + \varepsilon^{-1} H^T H.$$

CHAPTER 2

MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present our main result which is for both the singular time-varying delay system (1) and the neutral system (12).

Theorem 1. Under Assumption 1, system (12) and thus system (1) are asymptotically stable, if there exist $5n \times 5n$ positive-definite matrix P and $n \times n$ positive-definite matrices $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2, W, T, N$ and M such that the following LMI holds:

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} & \Psi_{13} & \Psi_{14} & \Psi_{15} & \Psi_{16} & \Psi_{17} & \Psi_{18} & \Psi_{19} & \Psi_{110} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & \Psi_{22} & \Psi_{23} & \Psi_{24} & \Psi_{25} & \Psi_{26} & \Psi_{27} & \Psi_{28} & \Psi_{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \Psi_{33} & \Psi_{34} & \Psi_{35} & \Psi_{36} & \Psi_{37} & \Psi_{38} & \Psi_{39} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Psi_{44} & 0 & \Psi_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Psi_{55} & \Psi_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{66} & 0 & \Psi_{68} & \Psi_{69} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{77} & \Psi_{78} & \Psi_{79} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{88} & \Psi_{89} & \Psi_{810} & \Psi_{811} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{99} & \Psi_{910} & 0 & \Psi_{912} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1010} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1111} & 0 & \Psi_{1113} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1212} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1313} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11} = & P_{11}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^T P_{11} + P_{14} + P_{14}^T + P_{15} + P_{15}^T + Q_1 + Q_2 + \hat{A}^T S_1 \hat{A} \\ & + \hat{A}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} - 4R_1 + \hat{A}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - 4R_2 ; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{12} = -P_{14} + P_{12}\hat{A} + P_{24} + P_{25} - 2R_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{13} = -P_{15} + P_{13}\hat{A} + P_{34} + P_{35} - 2R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{14} = P_{12} ;$$

$$\Psi_{15} = P_{13} ;$$

$$\Psi_{16} = h_1 P_{14} \hat{A} + h_1 P_{44} + h_1 P_{45} + 6R_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{17} = h_2 P_{15} \hat{A} + h_2 P_{45}^T + h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{18} = \hat{A}_d^T P_{11} + \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} \\ + T \alpha ;$$

$$\Psi_{19} = \hat{C}^T P_{11} + \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} ;$$

$$\Psi_{110} = W \hat{A} ;$$

$$\Psi_{22} = -P_{24} - P_{24}^T - Q_1 - 4R_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{23} = -P_{25} - P_{34} ;$$

$$\Psi_{24} = P_{22} ;$$

$$\Psi_{25} = P_{23} ;$$

$$\Psi_{26} = -h_1 P_{44} + 6R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{27} = h_2 P_{25}^T - h_2 P_{45}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{28} = \hat{A}_d^T P_{12}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{29} = \hat{C}^T P_{12}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{33} = -P_{35} - P_{35}^T - Q_2 - 4R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{34} = P_{23}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{35} = P_{33} ;$$

$$\Psi_{36} = -h_1 P_{45} ;$$

$$\Psi_{37} = h_2 P_{35}^T - h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{38} = \hat{A}_d^T P_{13}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{39} = \hat{C}^T P_{13}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{44} = -S_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{46} = h_1 P_{24}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{55} = -S_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{56} = h_1 P_{34}^T ;$$

$$\Psi_{66} = -12R_1 ;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{68} &= h_1 \hat{A}_d^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{69} &= h_1 \hat{C}^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{77} &= -12R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{78} &= h_2 \hat{A}_d^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{79} &= h_2 \hat{C}^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{88} &= \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - W \hat{A}_d - \hat{A}_d^T W \\
&\quad - 2T\alpha ; \\
\Psi_{89} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - \hat{C}^T W - W \hat{A}_d ; \\
\Psi_{810} &= W \hat{A}_d + W ; \\
\Psi_{811} &= -T ; \\
\Psi_{99} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{C} - W \hat{C} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}^T W - 2N + \varepsilon_1 (1 - D)^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{910} &= W \hat{C} + W ; \\
\Psi_{912} &= N^T ; \\
\Psi_{1010} &= -2W ; \\
\Psi_{1111} &= -2M + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{1113} &= M^T ; \\
\Psi_{1212} &= -\varepsilon_1 I ; \\
\Psi_{1313} &= -\varepsilon_2 I .
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we define

$$\zeta(t) = \left[\mu^T(t) \quad \mu^T(t - h_1) \quad \mu^T(t - h_2) \quad \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) ds \right]^T ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(t) &= [\mu^T(t) \quad \mu^T(t - h_1) \quad \mu^T(t - h_2) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t - h_1) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t - h_2) \\
&\quad \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \frac{1}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \mu^T(t - h(t)) \quad (1 - \dot{h}(t)) \dot{\mu}^T(t - h(t)) \\
&\quad \dot{\mu}^T(t) \quad \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds]^T .
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following LKF:

$$V(t) = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4, \quad (15)$$

where

$$V_1 = \zeta^T(t)P\zeta(t),$$

$$V_2 = \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s)Q_1\mu(s)ds + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s)Q_2\mu(s)ds,$$

$$V_3 = \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)S_1\dot{\mu}(s)ds + \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)S_2\dot{\mu}(s)ds,$$

$$V_4 = h_1 \int_{-h_1}^0 \int_{t-\theta}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)R_1\dot{\mu}(s)dsd\theta + h_2 \int_{-h_2}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)R_2\dot{\mu}(s)dsd\theta.$$

Take derivative of $V(t)$ for along the trajectory solution of system (12) yields

$$\dot{V}(t) = \dot{V}_1 + \dot{V}_2 + \dot{V}_3 + \dot{V}_4. \quad (16)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= \dot{\zeta}^T(t)P\zeta(t) + \zeta^T(t)P\dot{\zeta}(t) \\ &= \zeta^T(t)P\dot{\zeta}(t) + \zeta^T(t)P\dot{\zeta}(t) \\ &= 2\zeta^T(t)P\dot{\zeta}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mu(t) \\ \mu(t-h_1) \\ \mu(t-h_2) \\ \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds \\ \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} & P_{15} \\ P_{12}^T & P_{22} & P_{23} & P_{24} & P_{25} \\ P_{13}^T & P_{23}^T & P_{33} & P_{34} & P_{35} \\ P_{14}^T & P_{24}^T & P_{34}^T & P_{44} & P_{45} \\ P_{15}^T & P_{25}^T & P_{35}^T & P_{45}^T & P_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\ \dot{\mu}(t-h_2) \\ \mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1) \\ \mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= 2[\mu^T(t)P_{11}\dot{\mu}(t) + \mu^T(t)P_{12}\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) + \mu^T(t)P_{13}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t)P_{14}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) + \mu^T(t)P_{15}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{12}^T\dot{\mu}(t) + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{22}\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{23}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{24}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{25}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{13}^T\dot{\mu}(t) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{23}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{33}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{34}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{35}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{14}^T\dot{\mu}(t) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{24}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{34}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{44}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{45}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{15}^T\dot{\mu}(t) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{25}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{35}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{45}^T(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{55}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))] \\
&= 2[\mu^T(t)P_{11}(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t)P_{12}\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) + \mu^T(t)P_{13}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \mu^T(t)P_{14}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t)P_{15}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{12}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t))) \\
&\quad + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{22}\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{23}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{24}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_1)P_{25}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{13}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
&\quad + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{23}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{33}\dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{34}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t-h_2)P_{35}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{14}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
&\quad + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{24}^T\dot{\mu}(t-h_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{34}^T \dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{44} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
& + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds P_{45} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{15}^T (\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d \mu(t-h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t)) \hat{C} \dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{25}^T \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
& + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{35}^T \dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{45}^T (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
& + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds P_{55} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))]. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

From (15) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_2 & = [\mu^T(t) Q_1 \mu(t) - \mu^T(t-h_1) Q_1 \mu(t-h_1) + \mu^T(t) Q_2 \mu(t) \\
& \quad - \mu^T(t-h_2) Q_2 \mu(t-h_2)] \\
& = [\mu^T(t) (Q_1 + Q_2) \mu(t) - \mu^T(t-h_1) Q_1 \mu(t-h_1) \\
& \quad - \mu^T(t-h_2) Q_2 \mu(t-h_2)]. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

From (15) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_3 & = [\dot{\mu}^T(t) S_1 \dot{\mu}(t) - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) S_1 \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
& \quad + \dot{\mu}^T(t) S_2 \dot{\mu}(t) - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) S_2 \dot{\mu}(t-h_2)] \\
& = [\dot{\mu}^T(t) (S_1 + S_2) \dot{\mu}(t) - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) S_1 \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_2) S_2 \dot{\mu}(t-h_2)] \\
& = [(\hat{A}\dot{\mu}(t) + \hat{A}_d \dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t)) \hat{C} \dot{\mu}(t-h(t)))(S_1 + S_2)(\hat{A}\dot{\mu}(t) \\
& \quad + \hat{A}_d \dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t)) \hat{C} \dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) S_1 \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\
& \quad - \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_2) S_2 \dot{\mu}(t-h_2)]]. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

From (15) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_4 & = h_1 \int_{-h_1}^0 \dot{\mu}^T(t) R_1 \dot{\mu}(t) - \dot{\mu}^T(t+\theta) R_1 \dot{\mu}(t-\theta) d\theta \\
& \quad + h_2 \int_{-h_2}^0 \dot{\mu}^T(t) R_2 \dot{\mu}(t) - \dot{\mu}^T(t+\theta) R_2 \dot{\mu}(t+\theta) d\theta \\
& = h_1^2 (\dot{\mu}^T(t) R_1 \dot{\mu}(t)) - h_1 \int_{-h_1}^0 \dot{\mu}^T(t+\theta) R_1 \dot{\mu}(t+\theta) d\theta \\
& \quad + h_2^2 (\dot{\mu}^T(t) R_2 \dot{\mu}(t)) - h_2 \int_{-h_1}^0 \dot{\mu}^T(t+\theta) R_2 \dot{\mu}(t+\theta) d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h_1^2(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)))^T R_1(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
&\quad + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) - h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_1 \dot{\mu}(s) ds \\
&\quad + h_2^2(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)))^T R_2(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
&\quad + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) - h_2 \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_2 \dot{\mu}(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By adopting Lemma 4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_1 \dot{\mu}(s) ds \\
&\leq -h_1 \left[\frac{1}{t-(t-h_1)} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1))^T R_1 (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3}{t-(t-h_1)} (\mu(t-h_1) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_1)} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_1 (\mu(t-h_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds) \right] \\
&= -h_1 \left[\frac{1}{h_1} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1))^T R_1 (\mu(t) - (\mu(t-h_1)) + \frac{3}{h_1} (\mu(t-h_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_1 (\mu(t-h_1) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds) \right] \\
&= -(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1))^T R_1 (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) - (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_1) \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T 3R_1 (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_1) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds). \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-h_2 \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_2 \dot{\mu}(s) ds \\
&\leq -h_2 \left[\frac{1}{t-(t-h_2)} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2 (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \frac{3}{t-(t-h_2)} (\mu(t-h_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_2)} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_2 (\mu(t-h_2) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_2)} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds) \right] \\
&= -h_2 \left[\frac{1}{h_2} (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2 (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \frac{3}{h_2} (\mu(t-h_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_2 (\mu(t-h_2) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds) \right] \\
&= -(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2 (\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) - (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_2) \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T 3R_2 (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_2) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds). \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the following identity relation :

$$\dot{\mu}(t) - \hat{A}\mu(t) - \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) - (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\mu(t-h(t)) = 0, \quad (22)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [-\mu^T(t-h(t)) + \dot{\mu}^T(t)][-2W] \\ & [\dot{\mu}(t) - \hat{A}\mu(t) - \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) - (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\mu(t-h(t))] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where W is a positive definite matrix.

By using the following identity relation

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds &= \alpha(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h(t))) \\ &= \alpha\mu(t) - \alpha\mu(t-h(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

From (24) we get

$$\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds - \alpha\mu(t) + \alpha\mu(t-h(t)) = 0,$$

and we have

$$[-\mu(t-h(t))][-2T][\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds - \alpha\mu(t) + \alpha\mu(t-h(t))]. \quad (25)$$

Where T is a positive definite matrix and α is a positive real number.

By using the following identity relation.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t - h(t))2N(1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) \\ & - (1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t - h(t))2N(1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $N > 0$.

From Proposition 2.2 and $\dot{h}(t) \leq D$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 - D)(\mu^T(t - h(t)))N(\mu(t - h(t))) & \leq \varepsilon_1(1 - D)^2\mu^T((t - h(t))\mu(t - h(t))) \\ & + \varepsilon_1^{-1}N^T\mu^T(t - h(t))N(\mu(t - h(t))), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)2M\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s)ds \\ & - \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)ds2M\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s)ds = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $M > 0$.

From Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(\int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)ds)M \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)ds & \leq \varepsilon_2\alpha^2 \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)ds \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s)ds \\ & + \varepsilon_2^{-1}M^T \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)dsM \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Combining system (12) with (15)-(29) , we arrive at

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \xi^T(t)\omega\xi(t), \quad (30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) = & [\mu^T(t) \ \mu^T(t-h_1) \ \mu^T(t-h_2) \ \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) \ \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_2) \\ & \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s)ds \ \frac{1}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s)ds \ \mu^T(t-h(t)) \ (1-\dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t-h(t)) \\ & \dot{\mu}^T(t) \ \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)ds]^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} & \Psi_{13} & \Psi_{14} & \Psi_{15} & \Psi_{16} & \Psi_{17} & \Psi_{18} & \Psi_{19} & \Psi_{110} & 0 \\ * & \Psi_{22} & \Psi_{23} & \Psi_{24} & \Psi_{25} & \Psi_{26} & \Psi_{27} & \Psi_{28} & \Psi_{29} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \Psi_{33} & \Psi_{34} & \Psi_{35} & \Psi_{36} & \Psi_{37} & \Psi_{38} & \Psi_{39} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Psi_{44} & 0 & \Psi_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Psi_{55} & \Psi_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{66} & 0 & \Psi_{68} & \Psi_{69} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{77} & \Psi_{78} & \Psi_{79} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{88} & \Psi_{89} & \Psi_{810} & \Psi_{811} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{99} & \Psi_{910} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1010} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1111} \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11} = & P_{11}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^T P_{11} + P_{14} + P_{14}^T + P_{15} + P_{15}^T + Q_1 + Q_2 + \hat{A}^T S_1 \hat{A} \\ & + \hat{A}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} - 4R_1 + \hat{A}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - 4R_2 ; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{12} = -P_{14} + P_{12}\hat{A} + P_{24} + P_{25} - 2R_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{13} = -P_{15} + P_{13}\hat{A} + P_{34} + P_{35} - 2R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{14} = P_{12} ;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{15} &= P_{13} ; \\
\Psi_{16} &= h_1 P_{14} \hat{A} + h_1 P_{44} + h_1 P_{45} + 6R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{17} &= h_2 P_{15} \hat{A} + h_2 P_{45}^T + h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{18} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{11} + \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} \\
&\quad + T \alpha ; \\
\Psi_{19} &= \hat{C}^T P_{11} + \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} ; \\
\Psi_{110} &= W \hat{A} ; \\
\Psi_{22} &= -P_{24} - P_{24}^T - Q_1 - 4R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{23} &= -P_{25} - P_{34} ; \\
\Psi_{24} &= P_{22} ; \\
\Psi_{25} &= P_{23} ; \\
\Psi_{26} &= -h_1 P_{44} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{27} &= h_2 P_{25}^T - h_2 P_{45}^T ; \\
\Psi_{28} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{29} &= \hat{C}^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{33} &= -P_{35} - P_{35}^T - Q_2 - 4R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{34} &= P_{23}^T ; \\
\Psi_{35} &= P_{33} ; \\
\Psi_{36} &= -h_1 P_{45} ; \\
\Psi_{37} &= h_2 P_{35}^T - h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{38} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{39} &= \hat{C}^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{44} &= -S_1 ; \\
\Psi_{46} &= h_1 P_{24}^T ; \\
\Psi_{55} &= -S_2 ; \\
\Psi_{56} &= h_1 P_{34}^T ; \\
\Psi_{66} &= -12R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{68} &= h_1 \hat{A}_d^T P_{14}^T ;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{69} &= h_1 \hat{C}^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{77} &= -12R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{78} &= h_2 \hat{A}_d^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{79} &= h_2 \hat{C}^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{88} &= \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - W \hat{A}_d - \hat{A}_d^T W \\
&\quad - 2T\alpha ; \\
\Psi_{89} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - \hat{C}^T W - W \hat{A}_d ; \\
\Psi_{810} &= W \hat{A}_d + W ; \\
\Psi_{811} &= -T ; \\
\Psi_{99} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{C} - W \hat{C} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}^T W - 2N + \varepsilon_1 (1 - D)^2 I + \varepsilon_1^{-1} N^T N ; \\
\Psi_{910} &= W \hat{C} + W ; \\
\Psi_{1010} &= -2W ; \\
\Psi_{1111} &= -2M + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^2 I + \varepsilon_2^{-1} M^T M .
\end{aligned}$$

From (29) and Proposition 2.1 , it is easy to see that $\dot{V}(t) < 0$. From Lemma 3, we conclude that system (12) and hence , system (1) are asymptotically stable.

CHAPTER 3

NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, three numerical examples are given to illustrate the validity and superiority of the proposed scheme.

Example 1. Consider the following singular time-varying system with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \textbf{and} N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

such that

$$MEN = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MAN = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MA_dN = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to Theorem 1, let

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

We assume that with satisfy

$$h(t) = \sin^2(t)$$

and $0 \leq h_1 \leq h(t) \leq h_2$.

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 1. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible solutions :

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.9601 \times 10^3 & -0.0000 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0000 \times 10^3 & 3.9601 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 19.2506 & 1.4550 \\ 1.4550 & 12.2446 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 R_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 416.7206 & 1.2125 \\ 1.2125 & 405.3354 \end{bmatrix}, & R_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0033 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & 0.0061 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 S_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0040 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 0.0050 \end{bmatrix}, & S_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0041 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 0.0051 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 W &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0024 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0140 \end{bmatrix}, & N &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.1390 & 0.0014 \\ 0.0014 & 1.1369 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 M &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5105 & -0.0007 \\ -0.0007 & 0.5176 \end{bmatrix}, & T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1003 & -0.0005 \\ -0.0005 & 0.1024 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.7268 & 0.0016 \\ 0.0016 & 1.3562 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.6723 & -0.0077 \\ 0.0171 & -0.4829 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.0055 & 0.0033 \\ -0.0204 & -0.9085 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{14} &= \begin{bmatrix} -4.1883 \times 10^3 & -0.0235 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0268 \times 10^3 & -4.4274 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_{15} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0244 \times 10^3 & -0.4054 \times 10^3 \\ -0.5297 \times 10^3 & -0.0135 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.0373 & 0.0368 \\ 0.0368 & 2.2302 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_{23} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.3690 & -0.0544 \\ -0.0305 & -1.7511 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{24} &= \begin{bmatrix} 331.7989 & -10.1762 \\ 49.3883 & 378.7585 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_{25} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3.2653 \times 10^3 & -0.0358 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0361 \times 10^3 & -3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{33} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.3817 & 0.0520 \\ 0.0520 & 2.6648 \end{bmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{34} &= \begin{bmatrix} -113.7437 & -19.1402 \\ -28.4193 & 80.2111 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{35} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.2653 \times 10^3 & 0.0358 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0361 \times 10^3 & 3.4495 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{44} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.9601 \times 10^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.9601 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{45} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3.2653 \times 10^3 & -0.0359 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0360 \times 10^3 & -3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{55} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.2653 \times 10^3 & 0.0359 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0359 \times 10^3 & 3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

Example 2. Consider the system (1) with the following parameters :

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\hat{C} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 2. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible solutions :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 54.6121 & 0 \\ 0 & 54.6121 \end{bmatrix}, & Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 14.7851 & 0 \\ 0 & 17.3196 \end{bmatrix}, \\
R_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 5.7487 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.7423 \end{bmatrix}, & R_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.4765 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.4554 \end{bmatrix}, \\
S_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3456 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3655 \end{bmatrix}, & S_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.3524 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3739 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0058 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0095 \end{bmatrix}, \\
M &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5138 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5169 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.2300 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.3229 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.9577 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.6762 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{15} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0502 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1172 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{23} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.5363 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.9575 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{25} &= \begin{bmatrix} -41.5093 & 0 \\ 0 & -43.3520 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{34} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.6773 & 0 \\ 0 & 22.8484 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{44} &= \begin{bmatrix} 54.6121 & 0 \\ 0 & 54.6121 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{55} &= \begin{bmatrix} 39.9496 & 0 \\ 0 & 42.0913 \end{bmatrix}. \\
N &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.1109 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.1299 \end{bmatrix}, \\
T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0991 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0995 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.8572 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.5350 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{14} &= \begin{bmatrix} -59.9172 & 0 \\ 0 & -82.1298 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.3548 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.6176 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{24} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.9360 & 0 \\ 0 & 6.2648 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{33} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.8955 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.1551 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{35} &= \begin{bmatrix} 34.9494 & 0 \\ 0 & 37.1122 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{45} &= \begin{bmatrix} -41.8184 & 0 \\ 0 & -42.8570 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

Example 3. Consider the system (1) with the following parameters :

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -4.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 3. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible solutions :

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 15.5362 & 0 \\ 0 & 15.5362 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2288 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.5451 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6352 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6353 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0040 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0010 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0045 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0012 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0047 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0012 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0018 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0068 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1026 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.1006 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5148 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5174 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1019 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1004 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1802 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0579 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0370 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0467 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0486 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0573 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} -15.0881 & 0 \\ 0 & -21.7708 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7682 \times 10^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3741 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1443 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0815 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{23} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.1136 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0366 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{24} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.8564 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6070 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{25} &= \begin{bmatrix} -11.7344 & 0 \\ 0 & -12.9741 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{33} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1785 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0994 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{34} &= \begin{bmatrix} -2.2540 & 0 \\ 0 & 4.1814 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{35} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11.6815 & 0 \\ 0 & 12.9609 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{44} &= \begin{bmatrix} 15.5362 & 0 \\ 0 & 15.5362 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{45} &= \begin{bmatrix} -11.7400 & 0 \\ 0 & -12.9757 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{55} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11.7258 & 0 \\ 0 & 12.9713 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSION

We obtained a new criteria for asymptotical stability for system (1) as follow :

Theorem 1. Under Assumption 1, system (12) and thus system (1) are asymptotically stable, if there exist $5n \times 5n$ positive-definite matrix P and $n \times n$ positive-definite matrices $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2, W, T, N$ and M such that the following LMI holds:

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} & \Psi_{13} & \Psi_{14} & \Psi_{15} & \Psi_{16} & \Psi_{17} & \Psi_{18} & \Psi_{19} & \Psi_{110} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & \Psi_{22} & \Psi_{23} & \Psi_{24} & \Psi_{25} & \Psi_{26} & \Psi_{27} & \Psi_{28} & \Psi_{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \Psi_{33} & \Psi_{34} & \Psi_{35} & \Psi_{36} & \Psi_{37} & \Psi_{38} & \Psi_{39} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Psi_{44} & 0 & \Psi_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Psi_{55} & \Psi_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{66} & 0 & \Psi_{68} & \Psi_{69} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{77} & \Psi_{78} & \Psi_{79} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{88} & \Psi_{89} & \Psi_{810} & \Psi_{811} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{99} & \Psi_{910} & 0 & \Psi_{912} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1010} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1111} & 0 & \Psi_{1113} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1212} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1313} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11} = & P_{11}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^T P_{11} + P_{14} + P_{14}^T + P_{15} + P_{15}^T + Q_1 + Q_2 + \hat{A}^T S_1 \hat{A} \\ & + \hat{A}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} - 4R_1 + \hat{A}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - 4R_2 ; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{12} = -P_{14} + P_{12}\hat{A} + P_{24} + P_{25} - 2R_1 ;$$

$$\Psi_{13} = -P_{15} + P_{13}\hat{A} + P_{34} + P_{35} - 2R_2 ;$$

$$\Psi_{14} = P_{12} ;$$

$$\Psi_{15} = P_{13} ;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{16} &= h_1 P_{14} \hat{A} + h_1 P_{44} + h_1 P_{45} + 6R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{17} &= h_2 P_{15} \hat{A} + h_2 P_{45}^T + h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{18} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{11} + \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} \\
&\quad + T \alpha ; \\
\Psi_{19} &= \hat{C}^T P_{11} + \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} ; \\
\Psi_{110} &= W \hat{A} ; \\
\Psi_{22} &= -P_{24} - P_{24}^T - Q_1 - 4R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{23} &= -P_{25} - P_{34} ; \\
\Psi_{24} &= P_{22} ; \\
\Psi_{25} &= P_{23} ; \\
\Psi_{26} &= -h_1 P_{44} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{27} &= h_2 P_{25}^T - h_2 P_{45}^T ; \\
\Psi_{28} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{29} &= \hat{C}^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{33} &= -P_{35} - P_{35}^T - Q_2 - 4R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{34} &= P_{23}^T ; \\
\Psi_{35} &= P_{33} ; \\
\Psi_{36} &= -h_1 P_{45} ; \\
\Psi_{37} &= h_2 P_{35}^T - h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{38} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{39} &= \hat{C}^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{44} &= -S_1 ; \\
\Psi_{46} &= h_1 P_{24}^T ; \\
\Psi_{55} &= -S_2 ; \\
\Psi_{56} &= h_1 P_{34}^T ; \\
\Psi_{66} &= -12R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{68} &= h_1 \hat{A}_d^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{69} &= h_1 \hat{C}^T P_{14}^T ;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{77} &= -12R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{78} &= h_2 \hat{A}_d^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{79} &= h_2 \hat{C}^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{88} &= \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - W \hat{A}_d - \hat{A}_d^T W \\
&\quad - 2T\alpha ; \\
\Psi_{89} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - \hat{C}^T W - W \hat{A}_d ; \\
\Psi_{810} &= W \hat{A}_d + W ; \\
\Psi_{811} &= -T ; \\
\Psi_{99} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{C} - W \hat{C} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}^T W - 2N + \varepsilon_1(1 - D)^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{910} &= W \hat{C} + W ; \\
\Psi_{912} &= N^T ; \\
\Psi_{1010} &= -2W ; \\
\Psi_{1111} &= -2M + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{1113} &= M^T ; \\
\Psi_{1212} &= -\varepsilon_1 I ; \\
\Psi_{1313} &= -\varepsilon_2 I .
\end{aligned}$$

The stability problem for a class of singular time-varying delay systems has been investigated. Firstly, the singular system is represented as a neutral system. Then an augmented LKF and the Wirtinger-based integral inequality method are used to derive a new delay-dependent stability criterion in terms of LMIs. Three numerical examples are given to illustrate the reduced conservatism of the proposed method. Note that our method is proposed for dealing with time-varying delay. In the sense of finite-time stability (FTS), the proposed neutral system approach is not applicable and this has to be opened problem future works. For we expected that our present method is useful and may be effective method for FTS of singular systems.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A.B. Zhou, Z. Lin, G.R. Duan, Truncated predictor feedback for linear systems with long time-varying input delays, *Automatica* 48 (10) (2012) 2387-2399.
- [2] A. Seuret, F. Gouaisbaut, Wirtinger-based integral inequality : application to time-delay systems, *Automatica* 49 (9) (2013) 2860-2866.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, W.X. Zheng, Stability analysis of time-delay neural networks subject to stochastic perturbations, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.* 43 (6) (2013) 2122-2134.
- [4] C. Lin, Q.G. Wang, T.H. Lee, Robust normalization and stabilization of uncertain descriptor systems with norm-bounded perturbations, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 50 (4) (2005) 515-520.
- [5] D. Joyce, "Cauchy ' s inequality Math 130 Linear Algebra," pp. 1-3, 2015.
- [6] D.R. Lu, H. Li, Y. Zhu, Quantized H-infinity filtering for singular time-varying delay systems with unreliable communication channel, *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.* 31 (2) (2012) 521-538.
- [7] E. Fridman, Stability of linear descriptor systems with delay : a Lyapunov-based approach, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 273 (1) (2002) 24-44.
- [8] H.-B. Zeng, Y. He, M. Wu, and J. She, "Free-Matrix-Based integral inequality for stability analysis of uncertain T-S fuzzy systems with time-varying delay," *Autom. Control. IEEE Trans.*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 2768-2772, 2015.
- [9] H.J. Wang, A.K. Xue, R. Lu, New stability criteria for singular systems with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbations, *Int. J. Syst. Sci.* 45 (12) (2014) 2576-2589.

- [10] H.Y. Li, H.H. Liu, H.J. Gao, P. Shi, Reliable fuzzy control for active suspension systems with actuator delay and fault, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 20 (2) (2012) 342-357.
- [11] H.Y. Li, X.J. Jing, H.R. Karimi, Output-feedback-based control for vehicle suspension systems with control delay, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 61 (1) (2014) 436-446.
- [12] J.H. Park, O.M. Kwon, On new stability criterion for delay-differential systems of neutral type, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 162 (2) (2005) 627-637.
- [13] J.K. Hale, S.M.V. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [14] J.Q. Lu, D.W.C. Ho, J.D. Cao, Synchronization in an array of nonlinearly coupled chaotic neural networks with delay coupling, *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 18 (10) (2008) 3101-3111.
- [15] J. Sun, G.P. Liu, J. Chen, Delay-dependent stability and stabilization of neutral time-delay systems, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 19 (12) (2009) 1364-1375.
- [16] L. Dai, *Singular Control Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, 1989.
- [17] L.L. Liu, J.G. Peng, B.W. Wu, On parameterized Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional techniques for investigating singular time-delay systems, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (5) (2011) 703-708.
- [18] O.M. Kwon, M.J. Park, J.H. Park, New delay-partitioning approaches to stability criteria for uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays, *J. Frankl. Inst.* 349 (9) (2012) 2799-2823.
- [19] P. Balasubramaniam, R. Krishnasamy, R. Rakkiyappan, Delay-dependent stability of neutral systems with time-varying delay using delay-decomposition approach, *Appl. Math. Model.* 36 (5) (2012) 2253-2261.

- [20] P. Balasubramaniam, R. Krishnasamy, R. Rakkiyappan, Delay-dependent stability criterion for a class of non-linear singular Markovian jump systems with mode-dependent interval time-varying delays, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 9 (17) (2012) 3612-3627.
- [21] Q.L. Han, A descriptor system approach to robust stability of uncertain neutral systems with discrete and distributed delays, *Automatica* 40 (10) (2004) 1791-1796.
- [22] R. Lu, H. Wu, J. Bai, New delay-dependent robust stability criteria for uncertain neutral systems with mixed delays, *J. Frankl. Inst.* 351 (3) (2014) 2799-2823.
- [23] S.Y. Xu, J. Lam, Y. Zou, An improved characterization of bounded realness for singular delay systems and its applications, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 18 (2008) 263-277.
- [24] S.Y. Xu, P. Van Dooren, R. Stefan, Robust stability and stabilization for singular systems with state delay and parameter uncertainty, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 47 (7) (2002) 1122-1128.
- [25] X.M. Zhang, M. Wu, Y. He, New criteria on delay-dependent stability for linear descriptor system with delay, *Chin. J. Eng. Math.* 22 (6) (2006) 983-988.
- [26] X. Sun, Q.L. Zhang, C.Y. Yang, An improved approach to delay-dependent robust stabilization for uncertain singular time-delay systems, *Int. J. Autom. Comput.* 7 (2) (2010) 205-212.
- [27] Y.C. Ding, S.M. Zhong, W.F. Chen, A delay-range-dependent uniformly asymptotic stability criterion for a class of nonlinear singular systems, *Nonlinear Anal. : Real World Appl.* 12 (2) (2011) 1152-1162.

- [28] Y. He, Q.G. Wang, C. Lin, Augmented Lyapunov functional and delay-dependent stability criteria for neutral systems, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 15 (18) (2005) 923-933.
- [29] Z.G. Wu, H.Y. Su, J. Chu, Improved results on delay-dependent control for singular time-delay systems, *Acta Autom. Sin.* 35 (8) (2009) 1101-1106.
- [30] Z. Y. Liu, C. Lin, and B. Chen, "A neutral system approach to stability of singular time-delay systems," *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 351, no. 10, pp. 4939-4948, 2014.

APPENDIX

A neutral system approach to stability of singular time-varying delay system

A. Ampansiri, P. Uengsriwong and T. La-inchua*

School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand

*Corresponding author : teerapong.la@up.ac.th

Abstract

This independent study is concerned with the problem of delay-dependent stability for a class of singular time-varying delay system. By representing the singular system as a neutral form, using an augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional and the Wirtinger-based inequality method, we obtain a new stability criterion in terms of a linear matrix inequality (LMI). The criterion is applicable for the stability test of both singular time-varying delay systems and neutral systems with time-varying delays.

1 Introduction

Singular systems (also referred to as descriptor, generalized, differential-algebraic or semi-state systems) arise in a variety of physical systems such as economic systems, power systems and many other systems which can be modeled by dynamic equations and algebraic constraints [4],[6],[16].

It is well known that time-varying delay appears in many dynamic systems such as digital control systems, long transmission lines in pneumatic systems, manufacturing processes and remote control systems, which may cause poor performance and even instability [1],[3],[10-11],[14].

Recently, increasing attention has been paid to the study of singular time-varying delay systems due to the fact that such types of systems can better describe systems than normal ones and have extensive applications in various engineering systems, including flexible arm control of robots, large-scale electric network control and lossless transmission lines [7],[9],[17],[20],[23-27],[29].

Recent study of stability analysis for singular time-varying delay systems mainly focuses on delay-dependent criteria which are usually less conservative than delay-independent ones, see [9],[17],[20],[23],[25-27],[29] and the references therein, among which one main index of measuring the conservativeness is the maximum allowable upper bound on the time-varying delay. It is well known that the Lyapunov theory has been recognized as a powerful methodology for the stability analysis [13], and there are two key points to reduce the inherent conservativeness : the construction of appropriate Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (LKF) and the way to estimate its derivative. The simple LKF is employed in [7],[23-25] to investigate the stability of the singular time-varying delay systems, and rich results have been reported. Several attempts towards the construction of the LKFs have been done such as adopting parameterized LKF [17], augmenting several terms in LKF [20],[27], introducing the triple integral term [20], and using the delay-decomposition method [9]. Moreover the delay-decomposition method has been proved to be effective in reduction of the conservativeness, but it yields heavier computational burden with the number of delay-partitioning increasing. As for the way to bound some cross terms arisen when estimating the derivative of the LKF, there are some different methods, among which the free weighting matrix approach [27],[29] and Jensen's inequality method [20],[23],[26-27],[29] are the most popular methods. Recently a new Wirtinger-based integral inequality was introduced in [2] and it is shown effectively to reduce the conservativeness for testing stability of normal time-varying delay systems since it encompasses Jensen's inequality as the special case. At present, there are no obvious ways to obtain less conservative results and the singular time-varying delay systems still need more investigation. This motivates the study in this work.

In this independent study, the problem of stability analysis for a class of singular systems with time-varying delay is investigated. We aim at establishing a delay-dependent stability criterion in terms of LMIs which can produce allowable delay bounds as large as possible and the number of decision variables as few as possible. Firstly, the considered system is represented as a neutral system under a moderate assumption. Then a delay-dependent stability criterion is proposed by using Lyapunov method. The main idea is the transformation of singular time-varying delay systems into neutral systems and thus new delay-dependent stability test is derived by using appropriate LKF and the Wirtinger-based integral inequality, which forms the main contribution of our work. The present result can be used in testing stability of both singular time-varying delay system and neutral systems. Numerical examples show in Section 3. Section 4 give the conclusion of independent study.

Notation: In this paper, R^n denotes the n -dimensional real Euclidean space; $R^{n \times m}$ is the set of $n \times m$ real matrices; the superscript ' T ' denotes the matrix transportation, for any square matrix $A \in R^{n \times m}$, we define $He\{A\} = A + A^T$; $\| \cdot \|$ refers to the Euclidean norm of the vector and $diag\{\dots\}$ denotes the block diagonal matrix; $P > 0$ ($P \geq 0$) means that P is a real, symmetric and positive definite (positive semi-definite) matrix; the symbol ' \star ' represents the symmetric elements in asymmetric matrix; I_n and 0_n are, respectively, the $n \times n$ dimensional identity matrix and the $n \times n$ dimensional zero matrix. Whereas no confusion is caused, we also use I and 0 to denote, respectively, the identity matrix and the zero matrix with compatible dimensions.

2 Problem formulation and preliminaries

Consider the following linear singular time-varying delay system:

$$\begin{aligned} E\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + A_d x(t - h(t)), \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), t \in [-h_2, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $x(t) \in R^n$ is the state variable. $h(t)$ is a time-varying delay which satisfies $0 \leq h_1 \leq h(t) \leq h_2$, and $\dot{h}(t) \leq D$. $E, A, A_d \in R^{n \times n}$ are known real constant matrices where E may be a singular matrix, so and we assume that $\text{rank}(E) = r \leq n$. $\phi(t)$ is a continuously real-valued initial function.

We now transform system (1) into a neutral system under certain constraint. To proceed, the following Definitions and Lemmas are needed.

Definition 1 (Dai [1]). The pair (E, A) is said to be regular if $\det(sE - A)$ is not identically zero. The pair (E, A) is said to be impulse free if $\deg(\det(\lambda E - A)) = \text{rank}(E)$.

Lemma 1 (Xu et al. [24]). If the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, then the solution to the singular time-varying delay system (1) exists and is impulse free and unique on $[\theta, \infty)$.

From Lemma 1, the following definition is naturally introduced.

Definition 2 (Xu et al. [24]). The singular time-varying delay system (1) is said to be regular and impulse free, if the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free.

Lemma 2 (Dai [1]). If the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, then there exists two invertible matrices $M \in R^{n \times n}$ and $N \in R^{n \times n}$ such that

$$MEN = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MAN = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

According to Lemma 2, if the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free, invertible matrices $M, N \in R^{n \times n}$ can always be found such that

$$MEN := \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \bar{E}, \quad MAN := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} = \bar{A}. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$MA_dN = \bar{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d1} & A_{d2} \\ A_{d3} & A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}, \quad N^{-1}x(t) = \mu(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(t) \\ \mu_2(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

The following system :

$$\bar{E}\dot{\mu}(t) = \bar{A}\mu(t) + \bar{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)), \quad (5)$$

which can be written as follows :

$$\dot{\mu}_1(t) = A_1\mu_1(t) + A_{d1}\mu_1(t - h(t)) + A_{d2}\mu_2(t - h(t)), \quad (6)$$

$$0 = \mu_2(t) + A_{d3}\mu_1(t - h(t)) + A_{d4}\mu_2(t - h(t)). \quad (7)$$

Inspired by [9] and [13] , we rewrite the second equation. By differentiating (7) , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\mu_2(t) + A_{d3}\mu_1(t - h(t)) + A_{d4}\mu_2(t - h(t))] = 0. \quad (8)$$

By combining (7) and (8) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}_2(t) = & -\mu_2(t) - A_{d3}\dot{\mu}_1(t - h(t))(1 - \dot{h}(t)) - A_{d4}\dot{\mu}_2(t - h(t))(1 - \dot{h}(t)) \\ & - A_{d3}\mu_1(t - h(t)) - A_{d4}\mu_2(t - h(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From (6) and (9) , we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}_1(t) \\ \dot{\mu}_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_1\mu_1(t) + A_{d1}\mu_1(t - h(t)) + A_{d2}\mu_2(t - h(t)) \\ -\mu_2(t) - A_{d3}\mu_1(t - h(t)) - A_{d4}\mu_2(t - h(t)) \end{bmatrix} \\ & + [1 - \dot{h}(t)] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}_1(t - h(t)) \\ \dot{\mu}_2(t - h(t)) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}, \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} A_{d1} & A_{d2} \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}, \hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A_{d3} & -A_{d4} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Then the system (10) is of the form of a neutral system ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}(t) &= \hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)), \\ \mu(t) &= \varphi(t), t \in [-h_2, 0] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Definition 3 The equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is said to be asymptotically stable (A.S.) if it is stable and δ can be chosen such that

$$\|x(0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$$

Lemma 3 Let $x = 0$ be an equilibrium point for system (12) and $D \subset R^n$ be a domain containing $x = 0$.

1. If there exists a Lyapunov function V then the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is stable.

2. If there exists a Lyapunov function V and $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ in $D - \{0\}$ the equilibrium point $x = 0$ of system (12) is asymptotically stable.

In this work, we will provide an alternative way to study the stability of singular time-varying delay system (1) via the neutral system (12). Although the dynamic responses for system (1) and (12) may be different, it is easy to see that the stability property for both systems remains the same. That is, the asymptotic stability of system (12) will guarantee the asymptotic stability of system (1), and vice versa. With respect to the stability analysis of system (12), it is always assumed that the spectral radius of $\hat{C}(1-D)$ is less than 1. So the following assumption is made before our main result.

Assumption 1 Assume that the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free and all the eigenvalues of $\hat{C}(1-D)$ are inside the unit circle.

Lemma 4 (Seuret and Gouaisbaut [2]). For any constant matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $R = R^T \geq 0$, the following inequality holds for all continuously differentiable function x in $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\int_a^b \dot{x}^T R \dot{x}(s) ds \geq \frac{1}{b-a} (x(b) - x(a))^T R (x(b) - x(a)) + \frac{3}{b-a} \Omega^T R \Omega, \quad (13)$$

where $\Omega = x(a) + x(b) - (\frac{2}{b-a}) \int_a^b x(s) ds$.

Proposition 2.1 (Schur complement lemma [8]). Given constant matrices X, Y, Z with appropriate dimensions satisfying $X = X^T, Y = Y^T > 0$. Then $X + Z^T Y^{-1} Z < 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Z^T \\ Z & -Y \end{bmatrix} < 0 \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -Y & Z \\ Z^T & X \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Proposition 2.2 (Cauchy's inequality [5]). Let E and H be any constant matrices. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$2EH \leq \varepsilon EF^T + \varepsilon^{-1} H^T H.$$

3 Main results

In this section, we present our main result which is for both the singular time-varying delay system (1) and the neutral system (12).

Theorem 1. Under Assumption 1, system (12) and thus system (1) are asymptotically stable, if there exist $5n \times 5n$ positive-definite matrix P and $n \times n$ positive-definite matrices $Q_1, Q_2, R_1, R_2, S_1, S_2, W, T, N$ and M such that the following LMI holds:

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} & \Psi_{13} & \Psi_{14} & \Psi_{15} & \Psi_{16} & \Psi_{17} & \Psi_{18} & \Psi_{19} & \Psi_{110} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & \Psi_{22} & \Psi_{23} & \Psi_{24} & \Psi_{25} & \Psi_{26} & \Psi_{27} & \Psi_{28} & \Psi_{29} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \Psi_{33} & \Psi_{34} & \Psi_{35} & \Psi_{36} & \Psi_{37} & \Psi_{38} & \Psi_{39} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Psi_{44} & 0 & \Psi_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Psi_{55} & \Psi_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{66} & 0 & \Psi_{68} & \Psi_{69} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{77} & \Psi_{78} & \Psi_{79} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{88} & \Psi_{89} & \Psi_{810} & \Psi_{811} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{99} & \Psi_{910} & 0 & \Psi_{912} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1010} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1111} & 0 & \Psi_{1113} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1212} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1313} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (14)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11} &= P_{11}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^T P_{11} + P_{14} + P_{14}^T + P_{15} + P_{15}^T + Q_1 + Q_2 + \hat{A}^T S_1 \hat{A} \\ &\quad + \hat{A}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} - 4R_1 + \hat{A}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - 4R_2 ; \\ \Psi_{12} &= -P_{14} + P_{12}\hat{A} + P_{24} + P_{25} - 2R_1 ; \\ \Psi_{13} &= -P_{15} + P_{13}\hat{A} + P_{34} + P_{35} - 2R_2 ; \\ \Psi_{14} &= P_{12} ; \\ \Psi_{15} &= P_{13} ; \\ \Psi_{16} &= h_1 P_{14} \hat{A} + h_1 P_{44} + h_1 P_{45} + 6R_1 ; \\ \Psi_{17} &= h_2 P_{15} \hat{A} + h_2 P_{45}^T + h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\ \Psi_{18} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{11} + \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} \\ &\quad + T \alpha ; \\ \Psi_{19} &= \hat{C}^T P_{11} + \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} ; \\ \Psi_{110} &= W \hat{A} ; \\ \Psi_{22} &= -P_{24} - P_{24}^T - Q_1 - 4R_1 ; \\ \Psi_{23} &= -P_{25} - P_{34} ; \\ \Psi_{24} &= P_{22} ; \\ \Psi_{25} &= P_{23} ; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{26} &= -h_1 P_{44} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{27} &= h_2 P_{25}^T - h_2 P_{45}^T ; \\
\Psi_{28} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{29} &= \hat{C}^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{33} &= -P_{35} - P_{35}^T - Q_2 - 4R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{34} &= P_{23}^T ; \\
\Psi_{35} &= P_{33} ; \\
\Psi_{36} &= -h_1 P_{45} ; \\
\Psi_{37} &= h_2 P_{35}^T - h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{38} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{39} &= \hat{C}^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{44} &= -S_1 ; \\
\Psi_{46} &= h_1 P_{24}^T ; \\
\Psi_{55} &= -S_2 ; \\
\Psi_{56} &= h_1 P_{34}^T ; \\
\Psi_{66} &= -12R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{68} &= h_1 \hat{A}_d^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{69} &= h_1 \hat{C}^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{77} &= -12R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{78} &= h_2 \hat{A}_d^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{79} &= h_2 \hat{C}^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{88} &= \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - W \hat{A}_d - \hat{A}_d^T W \\
&\quad - 2T\alpha ; \\
\Psi_{89} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - \hat{C}^T W - W \hat{A}_d ; \\
\Psi_{810} &= W \hat{A}_d + W ; \\
\Psi_{811} &= -T ; \\
\Psi_{99} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{C} - W \hat{C} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}^T W - 2N + \varepsilon_1(1 - D)^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{910} &= W \hat{C} + W ; \\
\Psi_{912} &= N^T ; \\
\Psi_{1010} &= -2W ;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1111} &= -2M + \varepsilon_2 \alpha^2 I ; \\
\Psi_{1113} &= M^T ; \\
\Psi_{1212} &= -\varepsilon_1 I ; \\
\Psi_{1313} &= -\varepsilon_2 I .
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(t) &= \left[\mu^T(t) \quad \mu^T(t-h_1) \quad \mu^T(t-h_2) \quad \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) ds \right]^T , \\
\xi(t) &= \left[\mu^T(t) \quad \mu^T(t-h_1) \quad \mu^T(t-h_2) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \frac{1}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \mu^T(t-h(t)) \quad (1-\dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t-h(t)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \dot{\mu}^T(t) \quad \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds \right]^T .
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following LKF:

$$V(t) = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 , \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= \zeta^T(t) P \zeta(t) , \\
V_2 &= \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) Q_1 \mu(s) ds + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) Q_2 \mu(s) ds , \\
V_3 &= \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) S_1 \dot{\mu}(s) ds + \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) S_2 \dot{\mu}(s) ds , \\
V_4 &= h_1 \int_{-h_1}^0 \int_{t-\theta}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_1 \dot{\mu}(s) ds d\theta + h_2 \int_{-h_2}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_2 \dot{\mu}(s) ds d\theta .
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (15) with respect to t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_1 &= 2\zeta^T(t) P \dot{\zeta}(t) \\
&= 2 \begin{bmatrix} \mu(t) \\ \mu(t-h_1) \\ \mu(t-h_2) \\ \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds \\ \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds \end{bmatrix}^T P \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) \\ \dot{\mu}(t-h_2) \\ \mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1) \\ \mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= 2[\mu^T(t) P_{11}(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d \mu(t-h(t)) + (1-\dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t-h(t))) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t) P_{12} \dot{\mu}(t-h_1) + \mu^T(t) P_{13} \dot{\mu}(t-h_2) + \mu^T(t) P_{14}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) \\
&\quad + \mu^T(t) P_{15}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \mu^T(t-h_1) P_{12}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d \mu(t-h(t))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) + \mu^T(t - h_1)P_{22}\dot{\mu}(t - h_1) \\
& + \mu^T(t - h_1)P_{23}\dot{\mu}(t - h_2) + \mu^T(t - h_1)P_{24}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1)) \\
& + \mu^T(t - h_1)P_{25}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_2)) + \mu^T(t - h_2)P_{13}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t))) + \mu^T(t - h_2)P_{23}^T\dot{\mu}(t - h_1) \\
& + \mu^T(t - h_2)P_{33}\dot{\mu}(t - h_2) + \mu^T(t - h_2)P_{34}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1)) \\
& + \mu^T(t - h_2)P_{35}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_2)) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s)dsP_{14}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t))) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s)dsP_{24}^T\dot{\mu}(t - h_1) \\
& + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s)dsP_{34}^T\dot{\mu}(t - h_2) + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s)dsP_{44}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1)) \\
& + \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s)dsP_{45}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_2)) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s)dsP_{15}^T(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t))) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s)dsP_{25}^T\dot{\mu}(t - h_1) \\
& + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s)dsP_{35}^T\dot{\mu}(t - h_2) + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s)dsP_{45}^T(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1)) \\
& + \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s)dsP_{55}(\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_2))]. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_2 = & [\mu^T(t)(Q_1 + Q_2)\mu(t) - \mu^T(t - h_1)Q_1\mu(t - h_1) \\
& - \mu^T(t - h_2)Q_2\mu(t - h_2)]. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_3 = & [(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)))(S_1 + S_2)(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t))) - \dot{\mu}^T(t - h_1)S_1\dot{\mu}(t - h_1) \\
& - \dot{\mu}^T(t - h_2)S_2\dot{\mu}(t - h_2)]. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_4 = & h_1^2(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)))^T R_1(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) - h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)R_1\dot{\mu}(s)ds \\
& + h_2^2(\hat{A}\mu(t) + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)))^T R_2(\hat{A}\mu(t) \\
& + \hat{A}_d\mu(t - h(t)) + (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\dot{\mu}(t - h(t)) - h_2 \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)R_2\dot{\mu}(s)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By adopting Lemma 4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h_1 \int_{t-h_1}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)R_1\dot{\mu}(s)ds \\
& \leq -h_1 \left[\frac{1}{t-(t-h_1)} (\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1))^T R_1 (\mu(t) - \mu(t - h_1)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{3}{t-(t-h_1)}(\mu(t-h_1) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_1)} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_1(\mu(t-h_1) \\
& + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-h(t)} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)] \\
& = -h_1[\frac{1}{h_1}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1))^T R_1(\mu(t) - (\mu(t-h_1))) + \frac{3}{h_1}(\mu(t-h_1) \\
& + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_1(\mu(t-h_1) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)] \\
& = -(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1))^T R_1(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_1)) - (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_1) \\
& - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds)^T 3R_1(\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_1) - \frac{2}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu(s) ds). \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h_2 \int_{t-h_2}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) R_2 \dot{\mu}(s) ds \\
& \leq -h_2[\frac{1}{t-(t-h_2)}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \frac{3}{t-(t-h_2)}(\mu(t-h_2) \\
& + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_2)} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_2(\mu(t-h_2) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{t-(t-h_2)} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)] \\
& = -h_2[\frac{1}{h_2}(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) + \frac{3}{h_2}(\mu(t-h_2) \\
& + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T R_2(\mu(t-h_2) + \mu(t) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)] \\
& = -(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2))^T R_2(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h_2)) - (\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_2) \\
& - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds)^T 3R_1(\mu(t) + \mu(t-h_2) - \frac{2}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu(s) ds). \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the following identity relation :

$$\dot{\mu}(t) - \hat{A}\mu(t) - \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) - (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\mu(t-h(t)) = 0,$$

we have

$$[-\mu^T(t-h(t)) + \dot{\mu}^T(t)][-2W][\dot{\mu}(t) - \hat{A}\mu(t) - \hat{A}_d\mu(t-h(t)) - (1 - \dot{h}(t))\hat{C}\mu(t-h(t))] = 0 \tag{21}$$

where W is a positive definite matrix.

By using the following identity relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds & = \alpha(\mu(t) - \mu(t-h(t))) \\
& = \alpha\mu(t) - \alpha\mu(t-h(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

From we get

$$\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds - \alpha \mu(t) + \alpha \mu(t-h(t)) = 0.$$

and we have

$$[-\mu(t-h(t))][-2T][\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds - \alpha \mu(t) + \alpha \mu(t-h(t))]. \quad (22)$$

Where T is a positive definite matrix and α is a positive real number.

By using the following identity relation.

$$(1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t-h(t))2N(1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) - (1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t-h(t))2N(1 - \dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}(t-h(t)) = 0. \quad (23)$$

where $N > 0$.

From Proposition 2.2 and $\dot{h}(t) \leq D$, we obtain

$$2(1-D)(\mu^T(t-h(t)))N(\mu(t-h(t))) \leq \varepsilon_1(1-D)^2\mu^T((t-h(t))\mu(t-h(t))) + \varepsilon_1^{-1}N^T\mu^T(t-h(t))N(\mu(t-h(t))). \quad (24)$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Similarly, we have

$$\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s)2M\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds - \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds 2M\alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds = 0. \quad (25)$$

where $M > 0$.

From Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$2\alpha(\int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds M \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds) \leq \varepsilon_2\alpha^2 \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds + \varepsilon_2^{-1}M^T \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds M \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}(s) ds. \quad (26)$$

Combining system (12) with (15)-(29), we arrive at

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \omega \xi(t), \quad (27)$$

where

$$\xi(t) = \left[\mu^T(t) \quad \mu^T(t-h_1) \quad \mu^T(t-h_2) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_1) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t-h_2) \quad \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{t-h_1}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \frac{1}{h_2} \int_{t-h_2}^t \mu^T(s) ds \quad \mu^T(t-h(t)) \quad (1-\dot{h}(t))\dot{\mu}^T(t-h(t)) \quad \dot{\mu}^T(t) \quad \alpha \int_{t-h(t)}^t \dot{\mu}^T(s) ds \right]^T,$$

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} & \Psi_{13} & \Psi_{14} & \Psi_{15} & \Psi_{16} & \Psi_{17} & \Psi_{18} & \Psi_{19} & \Psi_{110} & 0 \\ * & \Psi_{22} & \Psi_{23} & \Psi_{24} & \Psi_{25} & \Psi_{26} & \Psi_{27} & \Psi_{28} & \Psi_{29} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & \Psi_{33} & \Psi_{34} & \Psi_{35} & \Psi_{36} & \Psi_{37} & \Psi_{38} & \Psi_{39} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & \Psi_{44} & 0 & \Psi_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \Psi_{55} & \Psi_{56} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{66} & 0 & \Psi_{68} & \Psi_{69} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{77} & \Psi_{78} & \Psi_{79} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{88} & \Psi_{89} & \Psi_{810} & \Psi_{811} \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{99} & \Psi_{910} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1010} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & \Psi_{1111} \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11} &= P_{11}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^T P_{11} + P_{14} + P_{14}^T + P_{15} + P_{15}^T + Q_1 + Q_2 + \hat{A}^T S_1 \hat{A} \\ &\quad + \hat{A}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} - 4R_1 + \hat{A}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - 4R_2; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{12} = -P_{14} + P_{12}\hat{A} + P_{24} + P_{25} - 2R_1;$$

$$\Psi_{13} = -P_{15} + P_{13}\hat{A} + P_{34} + P_{35} - 2R_2;$$

$$\Psi_{14} = P_{12};$$

$$\Psi_{15} = P_{13};$$

$$\Psi_{16} = h_1 P_{14} \hat{A} + h_1 P_{44} + h_1 P_{45} + 6R_1;$$

$$\Psi_{17} = h_2 P_{15} \hat{A} + h_2 P_{45}^T + h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{18} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{11} + \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A} \\ &\quad + T \alpha; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{19} = \hat{C}^T P_{11} + \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A} - W \hat{A};$$

$$\Psi_{110} = W \hat{A};$$

$$\Psi_{22} = -P_{24} - P_{24}^T - Q_1 - 4R_1;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{23} &= -P_{25} - P_{34} ; \\
\Psi_{24} &= P_{22} ; \\
\Psi_{25} &= P_{23} ; \\
\Psi_{26} &= -h_1 P_{44} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{27} &= h_2 P_{25}^T - h_2 P_{45}^T ; \\
\Psi_{28} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{29} &= \hat{C}^T P_{12}^T ; \\
\Psi_{33} &= -P_{35} - P_{35}^T - Q_2 - 4R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{34} &= P_{23}^T ; \\
\Psi_{35} &= P_{33} ; \\
\Psi_{36} &= -h_1 P_{45} ; \\
\Psi_{37} &= h_2 P_{35}^T - h_2 P_{55} + 6R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{38} &= \hat{A}_d^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{39} &= \hat{C}^T P_{13}^T ; \\
\Psi_{44} &= -S_1 ; \\
\Psi_{46} &= h_1 P_{24}^T ; \\
\Psi_{55} &= -S_2 ; \\
\Psi_{56} &= h_1 P_{34}^T ; \\
\Psi_{66} &= -12R_1 ; \\
\Psi_{68} &= h_1 \hat{A}_d^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{69} &= h_1 \hat{C}^T P_{14}^T ; \\
\Psi_{77} &= -12R_2 ; \\
\Psi_{78} &= h_2 \hat{A}_d^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{79} &= h_2 \hat{C}^T P_{15}^T ; \\
\Psi_{88} &= \hat{A}_d^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{A}_d^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - W \hat{A}_d - \hat{A}_d^T W \\
&\quad - 2T\alpha ; \\
\Psi_{89} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{A}_d + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{A}_d - \hat{C}^T W - W \hat{A}_d ; \\
\Psi_{810} &= W \hat{A}_d + W ; \\
\Psi_{811} &= -T ; \\
\Psi_{99} &= \hat{C}^T S_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T S_2 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_1^2 R_1 \hat{C} + \hat{C}^T h_2^2 R_2 \hat{C} - W \hat{C} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}^T W - 2N + \varepsilon_1(1 - D)^2 I + \varepsilon_1^{-1} N^T N ;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{910} &= W\hat{C} + W ; \\ \Psi_{1010} &= -2W ; \\ \Psi_{1111} &= -2M + \varepsilon_2\alpha^2I + \varepsilon_2^{-1}M^T M .\end{aligned}$$

From (29) and Proposition 2.1 , it is easy to see that $\dot{V}(t) < 0$. From Lemma 3, we conclude that system (12) and hence , system (1) are asymptotically stable.

4 Numerical Examples

In this section, three numerical examples are given to illustrate the validity and superiority of the proposed scheme.

Example 1. Consider the following singular time-varying system with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the pair (E, A) is regular and impulse free. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

such that

$$MEN = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MAN = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad MA_dN = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to Theorem 1, let

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We assume that with satisfy

$$h(t) = \sin^2(t)$$

$$\text{and } 0 \leq h_1 \leq h(t) \leq h_2$$

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 1. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible

solutions :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.9601 \times 10^3 & -0.0000 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0000 \times 10^3 & 3.9601 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 19.2506 & 1.4550 \\ 1.4550 & 12.2446 \end{bmatrix}, \\
R_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 416.7206 & 1.2125 \\ 1.2125 & 405.3354 \end{bmatrix}, & R_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0033 & -0.0002 \\ -0.0002 & 0.0061 \end{bmatrix}, \\
S_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.004 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 0.005 \end{bmatrix}, & S_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0041 & 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 & 0.0051 \end{bmatrix}, \\
W &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0024 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 & 0.014 \end{bmatrix}, & N &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.1390 & 0.0014 \\ 0.0014 & 1.1369 \end{bmatrix}, \\
M &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5103 & -0.0007 \\ -0.0007 & 0.5176 \end{bmatrix}, & T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1003 & -0.0005 \\ -0.0005 & 0.1024 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.7268 & 0.0016 \\ 0.0016 & 1.3562 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.6723 & -0.0077 \\ 0.0171 & -0.4829 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.0055 & 0.0033 \\ -0.0204 & -0.9085 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{14} &= \begin{bmatrix} -4.1883 \times 10^3 & -0.0235 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0268 \times 10^3 & -4.4274 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{15} &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.0244 \times 10^3 & -0.4054 \times 10^3 \\ -0.5297 \times 10^3 & -0.0135 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{22} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.0373 & 0.0368 \\ 0.0368 & 2.2302 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{23} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.3690 & -0.0544 \\ -0.0305 & -1.7511 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{24} &= \begin{bmatrix} 331.7989 & -10.1762 \\ 49.3883 & 378.7585 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{25} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3.2653 \times 10^3 & -0.0358 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0361 \times 10^3 & -3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{33} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2.3817 & 0.0520 \\ 0.0520 & 2.6648 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{34} &= \begin{bmatrix} -113.7437 & -19.1402 \\ -28.4193 & 80.2111 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{35} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.2653 \times 10^3 & 0.0358 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0361 \times 10^3 & 3.4495 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{44} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.9601 \times 10^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.9601 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{45} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3.2653 \times 10^3 & -0.0359 \times 10^3 \\ -0.0360 \times 10^3 & -3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{55} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.2653 \times 10^3 & 0.0359 \times 10^3 \\ 0.0359 \times 10^3 & 3.4496 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

Example 2. Consider the system (1) with the following parameters :

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 2. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible solutions :

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 54.6121 & 0 \\ 0 & 54.6121 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 14.7851 & 0 \\ 0 & 17.3196 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5.7487 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.7423 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4765 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.4554 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3456 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3655 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3524 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3739 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0058 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0095 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1109 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.1299 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5138 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5169 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0991 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0995 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2300 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.3229 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8572 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.5350 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9577 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.6762 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} -59.9172 & 0 \\ 0 & -82.1298 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0502 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1172 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.3548 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.6176 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5363 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.9575 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.9360 & 0 \\ 0 & 6.2648 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{25} = \begin{bmatrix} -41.5093 & 0 \\ 0 & -43.3520 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8955 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.1551 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6773 & 0 \\ 0 & 22.8484 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{35} = \begin{bmatrix} 34.9494 & 0 \\ 0 & 37.1122 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{44} = \begin{bmatrix} 54.6121 & 0 \\ 0 & 54.6121 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_{45} = \begin{bmatrix} -41.8184 & 0 \\ 0 & -42.8570 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{55} = \begin{bmatrix} 39.9496 & 0 \\ 0 & 42.0913 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

Example 3. Consider the system (1) with the following parameters :

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -4.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By taking parameters $D = 0.5, n = 0.9, m = 0.5$ and $a = 0.2$, we get Example 3. remains feasible for any delay time $h_1 = 0$ and $h_2 = 1$. Theorem 1. yields the following set of feasible solutions :

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 15.5362 & 0 \\ 0 & 15.5362 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.2288 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.5451 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6352 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6353 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0040 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0010 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0045 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0012 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0047 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0012 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0018 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0068 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1026 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.1006 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5148 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5174 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1019 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1004 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1802 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0579 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0370 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0467 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0486 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0573 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} -15.0881 & 0 \\ 0 & -21.7708 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7682 \times 10^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3741 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1443 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0815 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1136 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.0366 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8564 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6070 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{25} = \begin{bmatrix} -11.7344 & 0 \\ 0 & -12.9741 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1785 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0994 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{34} &= \begin{bmatrix} -2.2540 & 0 \\ 0 & 4.1814 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{35} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11.6815 & 0 \\ 0 & 12.9609 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{44} &= \begin{bmatrix} 15.5362 & 0 \\ 0 & 15.5362 \end{bmatrix}, & P_{45} &= \begin{bmatrix} -11.7400 & 0 \\ 0 & -12.9757 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_{55} &= \begin{bmatrix} 11.7258 & 0 \\ 0 & 12.9713 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 , we conclude that system (1) is asymptotically stable.

5 Conclusion

The stability problem for a class of singular time-varying delay systems has been investigated. Firstly, the singular system is represented as a neutral system. Then an augmented LKF and the Wirtinger-based integral inequality method are used to derive a new delay-dependent stability criterion in terms of LMIs. Three numerical examples are given to illustrate the reduced conservatism of the proposed method. Note that our method is proposed for dealing with time-varying delay. In the sense of finite-time stability (FTS), the proposed neutral system approach is not applicable and this has to be opened problem future works. For we expected that our present method is useful and may be effective method for FTS of singular systems.

References

- [1] A.B. Zhou, Z. Lin, G.R. Duan, Truncated predictor feedback for linear systems with long time-varying input delays, *Automatica* 48 (10) (2012) 2387-2399.
- [2] A. Seuret, F. Gouaisbaut, Wirtinger-based integral inequality : application to time-delay systems, *Automatica* 49 (9) (2013) 2860-2866.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, W.X. Zheng, Stability analysis of time-delay neural networks subject to stochastic perturbations, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.* 43 (6) (2013) 2122-2134.
- [4] C. Lin, Q.G. Wang, T.H. Lee, Robust normalization and stabilization of uncertain descriptor systems with norm-bounded perturbations, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 50 (4) (2005) 515-520.
- [5] D. Joyce, "Cauchy ' s inequality Math 130 Linear Algebra," pp. 1-3, 2015.
- [6] D.R. Lu, H. Li, Y. Zhu, Quantized H-infinity filtering for singular time-varying delay systems with unreliable communication channel, *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.* 31 (2) (2012) 521-538.

- [7] E. Fridman, Stability of linear descriptor systems with delay : a Lyapunov-based approach, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 273 (1) (2002) 24-44.
- [8] H.-B. Zeng, Y. He, M. Wu, and J. She, "Free-Matrix-Based integral inequality for stability analysis of uncertain T-S fuzzy systems with time-varying delay," *Autom. Control. IEEE Trans.*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 2768-2772, 2015.
- [9] H.J. Wang, A.K. Xue, R. Lu, New stability criteria for singular systems with time-varying delay and nonlinear perturbations, *Int. J. Syst. Sci.* 45 (12) (2014) 2576-2589.
- [10] H.Y. Li, H.H. Liu, H.J. Gao, P. Shi, Reliable fuzzy control for active suspension systems with actuator delay and fault, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 20 (2) (2012) 342-357.
- [11] H.Y. Li, X.J. Jing, H.R. Karimi, Output-feedback-based control for vehicle suspension systems with control delay, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 61 (1) (2014) 436-446.
- [12] J.H. Park, O.M. Kwon, On new stability criterion for delay-differential systems of neutral type, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 162 (2) (2005) 627-637.
- [13] J.K. Hale, S.M.V. Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1993.
- [14] J.Q. Lu, D.W.C. Ho, J.D. Cao, Synchronization in anarray of nonlinearly coupled chaotic neural networks with delay coupling, *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 18 (10) (2008) 3101-3111.
- [15] J. Sun, G.P. Liu, J. Chen, Delay-dependent stability and stabilization of neutral time-delay systems, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 19 (12) (2009) 1364-1375.
- [16] L. Dai, *Singular Control Systems*, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, USA, 1989.
- [17] L.L. Liu, J.G. Peng, B.W. Wu, On parameterized Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional techniques for investigating singular time-delay systems, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (5) (2011) 703-708.
- [18] O.M. Kwon, M.J. Park, J.H. Park, New delay-partitioning approaches to stability criteria for uncertain neutral systems with time-varying delays, *J. Frankl. Inst.* 349 (9) (2012) 2799-2823.
- [19] P. Balasubramaniam, R. Krishnasamy, R. Rakkiyappan, Delay-dependent stability of neutral systems with time-varying delay susing delay-decomposition approach, *Appl. Math. Model.* 36 (5) (2012) 2253-2261.
- [20] P. Balasubramaniam, R. Krishnasamy, R. Rakkiyappan, Delay-dependentstability criterion for a class of non-linear singular Markovian jump systems with mode-dependent interval time-varying delays, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 9 (17) (2012) 3612-3627.
- [21] Q.L. Han, A descriptor system approach to robust stability of uncertain neutral systems with discrete and distributed delays, *Automatica* 40 (10) (2004) 1791-1796.

- [22] R. Lu, H. Wu, J. Bai, New delay-dependent robust stability criteria for uncertain neutral systems with mixed delays, *J. Frankl. Inst.* 351 (3) (2014) 2799-2823.
- [23] S.Y. Xu, J. Lam, Y. Zou, An improved characterization of bounded realness for singular delay systems and its applications, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 18 (2008) 263-277.
- [24] S.Y. Xu, P. Van Dooren, R. Stefan, Robust stability and stabilization for singular systems with state delay and parameter uncertainty, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 47 (7) (2002) 1122-1128.
- [25] X.M. Zhang, M. Wu, Y. He, New criteria on delay-dependent stability for linear descriptor system with delay, *Chin. J. Eng. Math.* 22 (6) (2006) 983-988.
- [26] X. Sun, Q.L. Zhang, C.Y. Yang, An improved approach to delay-dependent robust stabilization for uncertain singular time-delay systems, *Int. J. Autom. Comput.* 7 (2) (2010) 205-212.
- [27] Y.C. Ding, S.M. Zhong, W.F. Chen, A delay-range-dependent uniformly asymptotic stability criterion for a class of nonlinear singular systems, *Nonlinear Anal. : Real World Appl.* 12 (2) (2011) 1152-1162.
- [28] Y. He, Q.G. Wang, C. Lin, Augmented Lyapunov functional and delay-dependent stability criteria for neutral systems, *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control* 15 (18) (2005) 923-933.
- [29] Z.G. Wu, H.Y. Su, J. Chu, Improved results on delay-dependent control for singular time-delay systems, *Acta Autom. Sin.* 35 (8) (2009) 1101-1106.
- [30] Z. Y. Liu, C. Lin, and B. Chen, "A neutral system approach to stability of singular time-delay systems," *J. Franklin Inst.*, vol. 351, no. 10, pp. 4939-4948, 2014.

MATLAB CODE

MATLAB CODE

1. MATLAB CODE for finding solution of examples 1

```
A=[-2,0;0.9,0.9]
```

```
Ad=[-1,0;0,1]
```

```
C=[0.1,0;0,-0.1]
```

```
I=[1,0;0,1]
```

```
h1=0
```

```
h2=1
```

```
D=0.5
```

```
n=0.9
```

```
m=0.5
```

```
a=0.2
```

```
setlmis([]);
```

```
S1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
S2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
Q1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
Q2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
R1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
R2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
W=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
P11=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
P12=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```

```
P13=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```

```
P14=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```

```
P15=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```

```
P22=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```
P23=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```

```

P24=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P25=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P33=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P34=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P35=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P44=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P45=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P55=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
N=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
M=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
T=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
lmiterm([1 1 1 P11],1,A,'s');           % LMI #1: P11*A+A'*P11
lmiterm([1 1 1 P14],1,1,'s');          % LMI #1: P14+P14'
lmiterm([1 1 1 P15],1,1,'s');          % LMI #1: P15+P15'
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q1],1,1);                % LMI #1: Q1
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q2],1,1);                % LMI #1: Q2
lmiterm([1 1 1 S1],A,A);                 % LMI #1: A'*S1*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 S2],A,A);                 % LMI #1: A'*S2*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*h1^(2)*A,A,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A*R1*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*4,-1,'s');        % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*h2^(2)*A,A,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A*R2*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*4,-1,'s');        % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P14],1,-1);              % LMI #1: -P14'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P12],A',1);              % LMI #1: A'*P12'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P24],1,1);               % LMI #1: P24'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P25],1,1);               % LMI #1: P25'
lmiterm([1 2 1 R1],2,-1);                % LMI #1: -2*R1
lmiterm([1 2 2 P24],1,-1,'s');          % LMI #1: -P24-P24'
lmiterm([1 2 2 Q1],1,-1);                % LMI #1: -Q1

```

```

lmiterm([1 2 2 R1],.5*4,-1,'s');           % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P15],1,-1);                 % LMI #1: -P15'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P13],A',1);                 % LMI #1: A'*P13'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P34],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P34'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P35],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P35'
lmiterm([1 3 1 R2],2,-1);                   % LMI #1: -2*R2
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P25],1,-1);                 % LMI #1: -P25'
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P34],1,-1);                 % LMI #1: -P34'
lmiterm([1 3 3 P35],1,-1,'s');              % LMI #1: -P35-P35'
lmiterm([1 3 3 Q2],1,-1);                  % LMI #1: -Q2
lmiterm([1 3 3 R2],.5*4,-1,'s');           % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 4 1 -P12],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P12'
lmiterm([1 4 2 -P22],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P22'
lmiterm([1 4 3 P23],1,1);                   % LMI #1: P23
lmiterm([1 4 4 S1],1,-1);                   % LMI #1: -S1
lmiterm([1 5 1 -P13],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P13'
lmiterm([1 5 2 -P23],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P23'
lmiterm([1 5 3 -P33],1,1);                  % LMI #1: P33'
lmiterm([1 5 5 S2],1,-1);                   % LMI #1: -S2
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P14],h1*A',1);              % LMI #1: h1*A'*P14'
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P44],h1,1);                 % LMI #1: h1*P44'
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P45],h1,1);                 % LMI #1: h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 1 R1],6,1);                    % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 2 -P44],h1,-1);                % LMI #1: -h1*P44'
lmiterm([1 6 2 R1],6,1);                    % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 3 -P45],h1,-1);                % LMI #1: -h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 4 P24],h1,1);                  % LMI #1: h1*P24
lmiterm([1 6 5 P34],h1,1);                  % LMI #1: h1*P34
lmiterm([1 6 6 R1],.5*12,-1,'s');          % LMI #1: -12*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)

```

```

lmiterm([1 7 1 -P15],h2*A',1);           % LMI #1: h2*A'*P15'
lmiterm([1 7 1 P45],h2,1);               % LMI #1: h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 1 -P55],h2,1);              % LMI #1: h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 1 R2],6,1);                  % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 2 P25],h2,1);               % LMI #1: h2*P25
lmiterm([1 7 2 P45],h2,-1);              % LMI #1: -h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 3 P35],h2,1);               % LMI #1: h2*P35
lmiterm([1 7 3 -P55],h2,-1);             % LMI #1: -h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 3 R2],6,1);                  % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 7 R2],.5*12,-1,'s');        % LMI #1: -12*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 1 -P11],1,Ad);               % LMI #1: P11'*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S1],A',Ad);               % LMI #1: A'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S2],A',Ad);               % LMI #1: A'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',Ad);        % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',Ad);        % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 W],A',-1);                 % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 8 1 T],1,a);                   % LMI #1: T*a
lmiterm([1 8 2 P12],1,Ad);                % LMI #1: P12*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 3 P13],1,Ad);                % LMI #1: P13*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 6 P14],h1,Ad);               % LMI #1: h1*P14*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 7 P15],h2,Ad);               % LMI #1: h2*P15*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S1],Ad',Ad);               % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S2],Ad',Ad);               % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 R1],.5*h1^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 8 R2],.5*h2^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 8 W],1,-Ad,'s');             % LMI #1: -W*Ad-Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 8 8 T],.5*2,-a,'s');           % LMI #1: -2*T*a (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 1 -P11],1,C);                 % LMI #1: P11'*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 S1],A',C);                 % LMI #1: A'*S1*C

```

```

lmiterm([1 9 1 S2],A',C); % LMI #1: A'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',C); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',C); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 W],A',-1); % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 9 2 P12],1,C); % LMI #1: P12*C
lmiterm([1 9 3 P13],1,C); % LMI #1: P13*C
lmiterm([1 9 6 P14],h1,C); % LMI #1: h1*P14*C
lmiterm([1 9 7 P15],h2,C); % LMI #1: h2*P15*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S1],Ad',C); % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S2],Ad',C); % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R1],h1^(2)*Ad',C); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R2],h2^(2)*Ad',C); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],1,-C); % LMI #1: -W*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],Ad',-1); % LMI #1: -Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 S1],C',C); % LMI #1: C'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 S2],C',C); % LMI #1: C'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 R1],.5*h1^(2)*C',C,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*C'*R1*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 R2],.5*h2^(2)*C',C,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*C'*R2*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 W],1,-C,'s'); % LMI #1: -W*C-C'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 N],.5*2,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -2*N (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 0],n*(1-D)^(2)*I); % LMI #1: n*(1-D)^(2)*I
lmiterm([1 10 1 W],A',1); % LMI #1: A'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],Ad',1); % LMI #1: Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],1,1); % LMI #1: W
lmiterm([1 10 9 W],C',1); % LMI #1: C'*W
lmiterm([1 10 9 W],1,1); % LMI #1: W
lmiterm([1 10 10 W],.5*2,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -2*W (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 11 8 T],1,-1); % LMI #1: -T
lmiterm([1 11 11 M],.5*2,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -2*M (NON SYMMETRIC?)

```

<code>lmiterm([1 11 11 0],m*a^(2)*I);</code>	<code>%LMI #1: m*a^(2)*I</code>
<code>lmiterm([1 12 9 -N],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #1: N'</code>
<code>lmiterm([1 12 12 0],-n*I);</code>	<code>% LMI #1: -n*I</code>
<code>lmiterm([1 13 11 -M],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #1: M'</code>
<code>lmiterm([1 13 13 0],-m*I);</code>	<code>% LMI #1: -m*I</code>
<code>lmiterm([-2 1 1 S1],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #2: S1</code>
<code>lmiterm([-3 1 1 S2],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #3: S2</code>
<code>lmiterm([-4 1 1 Q1],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #4: Q1</code>
<code>lmiterm([-5 1 1 Q2],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #5: Q2</code>
<code>lmiterm([-6 1 1 R1],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #6: R1</code>
<code>lmiterm([-7 1 1 R2],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #7: R2</code>
<code>lmiterm([-8 1 1 W],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #8: W</code>
<code>lmiterm([-9 1 1 P11],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #9: P11</code>
<code>lmiterm([-10 1 1 P22],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #10: P22</code>
<code>lmiterm([-11 1 1 P33],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #11: P33</code>
<code>lmiterm([-12 1 1 P44],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #12: P44</code>
<code>lmiterm([-13 1 1 P55],1,1);</code>	<code>% LMI #13: P55</code>

```
lmiterm([-14 1 1 N],1,1); % LMI #14: N
```

```
lmiterm([-15 1 1 M],1,1); % LMI #15: M
```

```
lmiterm([-16 1 1 T],1,1); % LMI #16: T
```

```
IS281=getlmis;
```

```
[tmin,xfeas]=feasp(IS281)
```

```
Q1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q1)
```

```
Q2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q2)
```

```
R1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R1)
```

```
R2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R2)
```

```
S1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S1)
```

```
S2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S2)
```

```
W=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,W)
```

```
N=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,N)
```

```
M=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,M)
```

```
T=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,T)
```

```
P11=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P11)
```

```
P12=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P12)
```

```
P13=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P13)
```

```
P14=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P14)
```

```
P15=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P15)
```

```
P22=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P22)
```

```
P23=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P23)
```

```
P24=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P24)
```

```
P25=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P25)
```

```
P33=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P33)
```

```
P34=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P34)
P35=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P35)
P44=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P44)
P45=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P45)
P55=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P55)
tmin
```

2. MATLAB CODE for finding solution of examples 2

```
A=[-0.2,0;0,1]
Ad=[-0.1,0;0,0.1]
C=[0.1,0;0,-0.1]
I=[1,0;0,1]
h1=0
h2=1
D=0.5
n=0.9
m=0.5
a=0.2
setlmsis([]);
S1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
S2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
Q1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
Q2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
R1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
R2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
W=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P11=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P12=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
```



```

P13=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P14=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P15=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P22=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P23=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P24=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P25=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P33=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P34=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P35=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P44=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P45=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P55=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
N=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
M=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
T=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
lmiterm([1 1 1 P11],1,A,'s');           % LMI #1: P11*A+A'*P11
lmiterm([1 1 1 P14],1,1,'s');           % LMI #1: P14+P14'
lmiterm([1 1 1 P15],1,1,'s');           % LMI #1: P15+P15'
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q1],1,1);                 % LMI #1: Q1
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q2],1,1);                 % LMI #1: Q2
lmiterm([1 1 1 S1],A',A);                % LMI #1: A'*S1*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 S2],A',A);                % LMI #1: A'*S2*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*h1^(2)*A',A,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*4,-1,'s');         % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*h2^(2)*A',A,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*4,-1,'s');         % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P14],1,-1);              % LMI #1: -P14'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P12],A',1);             % LMI #1: A'*P12'

```

```

lmiterm([1 2 1 -P24],1,1);           % LMI #1: P24'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P25],1,1);           % LMI #1: P25'
lmiterm([1 2 1 R1],2,-1);             % LMI #1: -2*R1
lmiterm([1 2 2 P24],1,-1,'s');       % LMI #1: -P24-P24'
lmiterm([1 2 2 Q1],1,-1);             % LMI #1: -Q1
lmiterm([1 2 2 R1],.5*4,-1,'s');     % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P15],1,-1);           % LMI #1: -P15'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P13],A,1);            % LMI #1: A'*P13'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P34],1,1);           % LMI #1: P34'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P35],1,1);           % LMI #1: P35'
lmiterm([1 3 1 R2],2,-1);             % LMI #1: -2*R2
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P25],1,-1);           % LMI #1: -P25'
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P34],1,-1);           % LMI #1: -P34'
lmiterm([1 3 3 P35],1,-1,'s');       % LMI #1: -P35-P35'
lmiterm([1 3 3 Q2],1,-1);             % LMI #1: -Q2
lmiterm([1 3 3 R2],.5*4,-1,'s');     % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 4 1 -P12],1,1);           % LMI #1: P12'
lmiterm([1 4 2 -P22],1,1);           % LMI #1: P22'
lmiterm([1 4 3 P23],1,1);            % LMI #1: P23
lmiterm([1 4 4 S1],1,-1);            % LMI #1: -S1
lmiterm([1 5 1 -P13],1,1);           % LMI #1: P13'
lmiterm([1 5 2 -P23],1,1);           % LMI #1: P23'
lmiterm([1 5 3 -P33],1,1);           % LMI #1: P33'
lmiterm([1 5 5 S2],1,-1);            % LMI #1: -S2
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P14],h1*A,1);         % LMI #1: h1*A'*P14'
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P44],h1,1);          % LMI #1: h1*P44'
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P45],h1,1);          % LMI #1: h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 1 R1],6,1);             % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 2 -P44],h1,-1);         % LMI #1: -h1*P44'

```

```

lmiterm([1 6 2 R1],6,1); % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 3 -P45],h1,-1); % LMI #1: -h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 4 P24],h1,1); % LMI #1: h1*P24
lmiterm([1 6 5 P34],h1,1); % LMI #1: h1*P34
lmiterm([1 6 6 R1],.5*12,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -12*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 7 1 -P15],h2*A',1); % LMI #1: h2*A'*P15'
lmiterm([1 7 1 P45],h2,1); % LMI #1: h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 1 -P55],h2,1); % LMI #1: h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 1 R2],6,1); % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 2 P25],h2,1); % LMI #1: h2*P25
lmiterm([1 7 2 P45],h2,-1); % LMI #1: -h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 3 P35],h2,1); % LMI #1: h2*P35
lmiterm([1 7 3 -P55],h2,-1); % LMI #1: -h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 3 R2],6,1); % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 7 R2],.5*12,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -12*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 1 -P11],1,Ad); % LMI #1: P11'*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S1],A',Ad); % LMI #1: A'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S2],A',Ad); % LMI #1: A'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',Ad); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',Ad); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 W],A',-1); % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 8 1 T],1,a); % LMI #1: T*a
lmiterm([1 8 2 P12],1,Ad); % LMI #1: P12*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 3 P13],1,Ad); % LMI #1: P13*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 6 P14],h1,Ad); % LMI #1: h1*P14*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 7 P15],h2,Ad); % LMI #1: h2*P15*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S1],Ad',Ad); % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S2],Ad',Ad); % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 R1],.5*h1^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)

```

```

lmiterm([1 8 8 R2],.5*h2^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s');           % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 8 W],1,-Ad,'s');                       % LMI #1: -W*Ad-Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 8 8 T],.5*2,-a,'s');                     % LMI #1: -2*T*a (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 1 -P11],1,C);                           % LMI #1: P11'*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 S1],A',C);                             % LMI #1: A'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 S2],A',C);                             % LMI #1: A'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',C);                     % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',C);                     % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 W],A',-1);                             % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 9 2 P12],1,C);                             % LMI #1: P12*C
lmiterm([1 9 3 P13],1,C);                             % LMI #1: P13*C
lmiterm([1 9 6 P14],h1,C);                             % LMI #1: h1*P14*C
lmiterm([1 9 7 P15],h2,C);                             % LMI #1: h2*P15*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S1],Ad',C);                             % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S2],Ad',C);                             % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R1],h1^(2)*Ad',C);                     % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R2],h2^(2)*Ad',C);                     % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],1,-C);                             % LMI #1: -W*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],Ad',-1);                             % LMI #1: -Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 S1],C',C);                             % LMI #1: C'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 S2],C',C);                             % LMI #1: C'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 R1],.5*h1^(2)*C',C,'s');             % LMI #1: h1^(2)*C'*R1*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 R2],.5*h2^(2)*C',C,'s');             % LMI #1: h2^(2)*C'*R2*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 W],1,-C,'s');                         % LMI #1: -W*C-C'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 N],.5*2,-1,'s');                     % LMI #1: -2*N (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 0],n*(1-D)^(2)*I);                   % LMI #1: n*(1-D)^(2)*I
lmiterm([1 10 1 W],A',1);                             % LMI #1: A'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],Ad',1);                           % LMI #1: Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],1,1);                             % LMI #1: W

```

```

lmiterm([1 10 9 W],C',1);           % LMI #1: C'*W
lmiterm([1 10 9 W],1,1);           % LMI #1: W
lmiterm([1 10 10 W],5*2,-1,'s');   % LMI #1: -2*W (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 11 8 T],1,-1);          % LMI #1: -T
lmiterm([1 11 11 M],5*2,-1,'s');   % LMI #1: -2*M (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 11 11 0],m*a^(2)*I);    %LMI #1: m*a^(2)*I
lmiterm([1 12 9 -N],1,1);          % LMI #1: N'
lmiterm([1 12 12 0],-n*I);         % LMI #1: -n*I
lmiterm([1 13 11 -M],1,1);         % LMI #1: M'
lmiterm([1 13 13 0],-m*I);         % LMI #1: -m*I

lmiterm([-2 1 1 S1],1,1);          % LMI #2: S1

lmiterm([-3 1 1 S2],1,1);          % LMI #3: S2

lmiterm([-4 1 1 Q1],1,1);          % LMI #4: Q1

lmiterm([-5 1 1 Q2],1,1);          % LMI #5: Q2

lmiterm([-6 1 1 R1],1,1);          % LMI #6: R1

lmiterm([-7 1 1 R2],1,1);          % LMI #7: R2

lmiterm([-8 1 1 W],1,1);           % LMI #8: W

lmiterm([-9 1 1 P11],1,1);         % LMI #9: P11

lmiterm([-10 1 1 P22],1,1);        % LMI #10: P22

```

```
lmiterm([-11 1 1 P33],1,1);           % LMI #11: P33

lmiterm([-12 1 1 P44],1,1);           % LMI #12: P44

lmiterm([-13 1 1 P55],1,1);           % LMI #13: P55

lmiterm([-14 1 1 N],1,1);             % LMI #14: N

lmiterm([-15 1 1 M],1,1);             % LMI #15: M

lmiterm([-16 1 1 T],1,1);             % LMI #16: T

IS281=getlmis;
[tmin,xfeas]=feasp(IS281)
Q1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q1)
Q2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q2)
R1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R1)
R2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R2)
S1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S1)
S2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S2)
W=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,W)
N=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,N)
M=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,M)
T=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,T)
P11=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P11)
P12=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P12)
P13=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P13)
P14=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P14)
P15=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P15)
```

```
P22=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P22)
P23=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P23)
P24=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P24)
P25=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P25)
P33=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P33)
P34=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P34)
P35=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P35)
P44=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P44)
P45=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P45)
P55=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P55)
tmin
```

3. MATLAB CODE for finding solution of examples 3

```
A=[-4.5,0;0,6]
Ad=[-1,0;0,2]
C=[0.1,0;0,-0.1]
I=[1,0;0,1]
h1=0
h2=1
D=0.5
n=0.9
m=0.5
a=0.2
setlmsis([]);
S1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
S2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
Q1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
Q2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
R1=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
```

```

R2=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
W=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P11=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P12=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P13=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P14=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P15=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P22=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P23=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P24=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P25=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P33=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P34=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P35=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P44=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
P45=lmivar(2,[2,2]);
P55=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
N=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
M=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
T=lmivar(1,[2,1]);
lmiterm([1 1 1 P11],1,A,'s');           % LMI #1: P11*A+A'*P11
lmiterm([1 1 1 P14],1,1,'s');           % LMI #1: P14+P14'
lmiterm([1 1 1 P15],1,1,'s');           % LMI #1: P15+P15'
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q1],1,1);                 % LMI #1: Q1
lmiterm([1 1 1 Q2],1,1);                 % LMI #1: Q2
lmiterm([1 1 1 S1],A',A);                 % LMI #1: A'*S1*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 S2],A',A);                 % LMI #1: A'*S2*A
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*h1^(2)*A',A,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R1],.5*4,-1,'s');         % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)

```



```

lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*h2^(2)*A',A,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*A (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 1 1 R2],.5*4,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P14],1,-1); % LMI #1: -P14'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P12],A',1); % LMI #1: A'*P12'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P24],1,1); % LMI #1: P24'
lmiterm([1 2 1 -P25],1,1); % LMI #1: P25'
lmiterm([1 2 1 R1],2,-1); % LMI #1: -2*R1
lmiterm([1 2 2 P24],1,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -P24-P24'
lmiterm([1 2 2 Q1],1,-1); % LMI #1: -Q1
lmiterm([1 2 2 R1],.5*4,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -4*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P15],1,-1); % LMI #1: -P15'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P13],A',1); % LMI #1: A'*P13'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P34],1,1); % LMI #1: P34'
lmiterm([1 3 1 -P35],1,1); % LMI #1: P35'
lmiterm([1 3 1 R2],2,-1); % LMI #1: -2*R2
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P25],1,-1); % LMI #1: -P25'
lmiterm([1 3 2 -P34],1,-1); % LMI #1: -P34'
lmiterm([1 3 3 P35],1,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -P35-P35'
lmiterm([1 3 3 Q2],1,-1); % LMI #1: -Q2
lmiterm([1 3 3 R2],.5*4,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -4*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 4 1 -P12],1,1); % LMI #1: P12'
lmiterm([1 4 2 -P22],1,1); % LMI #1: P22'
lmiterm([1 4 3 P23],1,1); % LMI #1: P23
lmiterm([1 4 4 S1],1,-1); % LMI #1: -S1
lmiterm([1 5 1 -P13],1,1); % LMI #1: P13'
lmiterm([1 5 2 -P23],1,1); % LMI #1: P23'
lmiterm([1 5 3 -P33],1,1); % LMI #1: P33'
lmiterm([1 5 5 S2],1,-1); % LMI #1: -S2
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P14],h1*A',1); % LMI #1: h1*A'*P14'

```

```

lmiterm([1 6 1 -P44],h1,1);           % LMI #1: h1*P44'
lmiterm([1 6 1 -P45],h1,1);           % LMI #1: h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 1 R1],6,1);               % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 2 -P44],h1,-1);           % LMI #1: -h1*P44'
lmiterm([1 6 2 R1],6,1);               % LMI #1: 6*R1
lmiterm([1 6 3 -P45],h1,-1);           % LMI #1: -h1*P45'
lmiterm([1 6 4 P24],h1,1);             % LMI #1: h1*P24
lmiterm([1 6 5 P34],h1,1);             % LMI #1: h1*P34
lmiterm([1 6 6 R1],.5*12,-1,'s');     % LMI #1: -12*R1 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 7 1 -P15],h2*A',1);         % LMI #1: h2*A'*P15'
lmiterm([1 7 1 P45],h2,1);             % LMI #1: h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 1 -P55],h2,1);           % LMI #1: h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 1 R2],6,1);               % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 2 P25],h2,1);             % LMI #1: h2*P25
lmiterm([1 7 2 P45],h2,-1);           % LMI #1: -h2*P45
lmiterm([1 7 3 P35],h2,1);             % LMI #1: h2*P35
lmiterm([1 7 3 -P55],h2,-1);           % LMI #1: -h2*P55'
lmiterm([1 7 3 R2],6,1);               % LMI #1: 6*R2
lmiterm([1 7 7 R2],.5*12,-1,'s');     % LMI #1: -12*R2 (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 1 -P11],1,Ad);             % LMI #1: P11'*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S1],A',Ad);             % LMI #1: A'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 S2],A',Ad);             % LMI #1: A'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',Ad);      % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',Ad);      % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 1 W],A',-1);              % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 8 1 T],1,a);                 % LMI #1: T*a
lmiterm([1 8 2 P12],1,Ad);              % LMI #1: P12*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 3 P13],1,Ad);              % LMI #1: P13*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 6 P14],h1,Ad);             % LMI #1: h1*P14*Ad

```

```

lmiterm([1 8 7 P15],h2,Ad); % LMI #1: h2*P15*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S1],Ad',Ad); % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 S2],Ad',Ad); % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*Ad
lmiterm([1 8 8 R1],.5*h1^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 8 R2],.5*h2^(2)*Ad',Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*Ad (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 8 8 W],1,-Ad,'s'); % LMI #1: -W*Ad-Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 8 8 T],.5*2,-a,'s'); % LMI #1: -2*T*a (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 1 -P11],1,C); % LMI #1: P11'*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 S1],A',C); % LMI #1: A'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 S2],A',C); % LMI #1: A'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R1],h1^(2)*A',C); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*A'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 R2],h2^(2)*A',C); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*A'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 1 W],A',-1); % LMI #1: -A'*W
lmiterm([1 9 2 P12],1,C); % LMI #1: P12*C
lmiterm([1 9 3 P13],1,C); % LMI #1: P13*C
lmiterm([1 9 6 P14],h1,C); % LMI #1: h1*P14*C
lmiterm([1 9 7 P15],h2,C); % LMI #1: h2*P15*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S1],Ad',C); % LMI #1: Ad'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 S2],Ad',C); % LMI #1: Ad'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R1],h1^(2)*Ad',C); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*Ad'*R1*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 R2],h2^(2)*Ad',C); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*Ad'*R2*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],1,-C); % LMI #1: -W*C
lmiterm([1 9 8 W],Ad',-1); % LMI #1: -Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 S1],C',C); % LMI #1: C'*S1*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 S2],C',C); % LMI #1: C'*S2*C
lmiterm([1 9 9 R1],.5*h1^(2)*C',C,'s'); % LMI #1: h1^(2)*C'*R1*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 R2],.5*h2^(2)*C',C,'s'); % LMI #1: h2^(2)*C'*R2*C (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 9 9 W],1,-C,'s'); % LMI #1: -W*C-C'*W
lmiterm([1 9 9 N],.5*2,-1,'s'); % LMI #1: -2*N (NON SYMMETRIC?)

```

```

lmiterm([1 9 9 0],n*(1-D)^(2)*I);           % LMI #1: n*(1-D)^(2)*I
lmiterm([1 10 1 W],A',1);                    % LMI #1: A'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],Ad',1);                   % LMI #1: Ad'*W
lmiterm([1 10 8 W],1,1);                     % LMI #1: W
lmiterm([1 10 9 W],C',1);                   % LMI #1: C'*W
lmiterm([1 10 9 W],1,1);                     % LMI #1: W
lmiterm([1 10 10 W],.5*2,-1,'s');           % LMI #1: -2*W (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 11 8 T],1,-1);                   % LMI #1: -T
lmiterm([1 11 11 M],.5*2,-1,'s');           % LMI #1: -2*M (NON SYMMETRIC?)
lmiterm([1 11 11 0],m*a^(2)*I);             %LMI #1: m*a^(2)*I
lmiterm([1 12 9 -N],1,1);                    % LMI #1: N'
lmiterm([1 12 12 0],-n*I);                  % LMI #1: -n*I
lmiterm([1 13 11 -M],1,1);                  % LMI #1: M'
lmiterm([1 13 13 0],-m*I);                  % LMI #1: -m*I

lmiterm([-2 1 1 S1],1,1);                   % LMI #2: S1

lmiterm([-3 1 1 S2],1,1);                   % LMI #3: S2

lmiterm([-4 1 1 Q1],1,1);                   % LMI #4: Q1

lmiterm([-5 1 1 Q2],1,1);                   % LMI #5: Q2

lmiterm([-6 1 1 R1],1,1);                   % LMI #6: R1

lmiterm([-7 1 1 R2],1,1);                   % LMI #7: R2

lmiterm([-8 1 1 W],1,1);                     % LMI #8: W

```

```
lmiterm([-9 1 1 P11],1,1);           % LMI #9: P11

lmiterm([-10 1 1 P22],1,1);          % LMI #10: P22

lmiterm([-11 1 1 P33],1,1);          % LMI #11: P33

lmiterm([-12 1 1 P44],1,1);          % LMI #12: P44

lmiterm([-13 1 1 P55],1,1);          % LMI #13: P55

lmiterm([-14 1 1 N],1,1);            % LMI #14: N

lmiterm([-15 1 1 M],1,1);            % LMI #15: M

lmiterm([-16 1 1 T],1,1);            % LMI #16: T

IS281=getlmis;
[tmin,xfeas]=feasp(IS281)
Q1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q1)
Q2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,Q2)
R1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R1)
R2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,R2)
S1=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S1)
S2=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,S2)
W=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,W)
N=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,N)
M=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,M)
T=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,T)
P11=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P11)
```

P12=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P12)

P13=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P13)

P14=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P14)

P15=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P15)

P22=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P22)

P23=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P23)

P24=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P24)

P25=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P25)

P33=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P33)

P34=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P34)

P35=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P35)

P44=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P44)

P45=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P45)

P55=dec2mat(IS281,xfeas,P55)

tmin

BIOGRAPHY

BIOGRAPHY



Name Surname	Miss Aparat Ampansiri
Date of Birth	19 November 1995
Address	House No. 165 Village No.4 Thadindam sub-district, Chaibadan district, Lopburi province 15130
Education Background	
Year 2010	Junior High School from Chaibadanwittaya School, Chaibadan, Lopburi, Thailand
Year 2013	Senior High School from Chaibadanwittaya School, Chaibadan, Lopburi, Thailand
Telephone number	089-9804318
E-mail	ampansiri.aparat7@gmail.com

BIOGRAPHY



Name Surname Miss Pacharapan Uengsriwong

Date of Birth 8 July 1996

Address House No. 479/1 Village No.1
Huadong sub-district, Muang district,
Phichit province 66170

Education Background

Year 2010 Junior High School from Phichitpittayakom School,
Muang, Phichit, Thailand

Year 2013 Senior High School from Phichitpittayakom School,
Muang, Phichit, Thailand

Telephone number 085-0521628

E-mail lookbasketballza@gmail.com

A NEUTRAL SYSTEM APPROACH TO STABILITY OF SINGULAR TIME-VARYING DELAY SYSTEM

2018